



**PART A
REQUEST FOR QUOTATIONS (RFQ)**

YOU ARE HEREBY INVITED TO BID FOR THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE (SA TOURISM)					
RFQ NUMBER:	RFQ-HC-31-26	CLOSING DATE:	07 OCTOBER 2026	CLOSING TIME:	14:00 PM
DESCRIPTION	STAFF ENGAGEMENT SURVEY				
BID RESPONSE DOCUMENTS MAY BE PROVIDED BY THE BELOW METHODS					
All proposals must be uploaded using the following email: quotes@southafrica.net NOTE: IT IS THE BIDDER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO ENSURE THE COMPLETED RFQ DOCUMENT WITH APPROPRIATE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS ARE DELIVERED TO THE CORRECT ADDRESS ON OR BEFORE THE CLOSING DATE AND TIME.					
BIDDING PROCEDURE ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO					
CONTACT PERSON	Nomkhosi Sekhotho				
TELEPHONE NUMBER	0118953000				
E-MAIL ADDRESS	quotes@southafrica.net				
SUPPLIER INFORMATION					
NAME OF BIDDER					
POSTAL ADDRESS					
STREET ADDRESS					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		
CELLPHONE NUMBER					
E-MAIL ADDRESS					
VAT REGISTRATION NUMBER (if applicable)					
SUPPLIER COMPLIANCE STATUS	TAX COMPLIANCE SYSTEM PIN:		OR	CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE No:	MAAA
ARE YOU A FOREIGN BASED SUPPLIER FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?			☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES, PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS]		
QUESTIONNAIRE TO BIDDING FOREIGN SUPPLIERS					
IS THE ENTITY A RESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA (RSA)?				☐ YES ☐ NO	
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A BRANCH IN THE RSA?				☐ YES ☐ NO	
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT IN THE RSA?				☐ YES	
☐ NO					



SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM

DOES THE ENTITY HAVE ANY SOURCE OF INCOME IN THE RSA?
NO

☐ YES ☐

IS THE ENTITY LIABLE IN THE RSA FOR ANY FORM OF TAXATION?
NO

☐ YES ☐

IF THE ANSWER IS “NO” TO ALL OF THE ABOVE, THEN IT IS NOT A REQUIREMENT TO REGISTER FOR A TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS SYSTEM PIN CODE FROM THE SOUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICE (SARS) AND IF NOT REGISTER AS PER 2.3 BELOW.

PART B
TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING

1. BID SUBMISSION:

- 1.1. BIDS MUST BE DELIVERED BY THE STIPULATED DATE AND TIME TO THE CORRECT EMAIL ADDRESS AND OR PLATFORM. NO HAND DELIVERY MAY BE ACCEPTED. ALL COMPLETED DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE SEND TO quotes@southafrica.net
- 1.2. BIDDERS FAILURE TO COMPLETE THE REGISTER WILL INVALIDATE THE RFQ. LATE BIDS/RFQ WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED WHEN MAKING A DECISION TO AWARD.
- 1.3. ALL BIDS MUST BE SUBMITTED ON THE OFFICIAL FORMS PROVIDED - OR IN THE MANNER PRESCRIBED IN THE BID DOCUMENT. THE STANDARDS BIDDING DOCUMENTS (SBD) FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND RETURNED WITH THE RFQ / BID DOCUMENTS.
- 1.4. BIDDER'S ARE NOT ALLOWED TO ALTER THE CONTENT AND SEQUENCE OF INFORMATION IN THE SBD4 FORM.
- 1.5. THE UNDERSIGNED BIDDER DECLARES AND FURTHER AGREES TO HAVE READ 2010 VERSION OF THE GENERAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT (GCC) IS AVAILABLE ON THE NATIONAL TREASURY WEBSITE. TO ACCESS THE GCC THE BIDDER SHOULD CLICK THE FOLLOWING LINK <http://www.treasury.gov.za/divisions/ocpo/sc/GeneralConditions/> OR DOWNLOAD THE DOCUMENT FROM THE WEBSITE OF NATIONAL TREASURY.
- 1.6. THE 80 / 20 PREFERENTIAL POINT SYSTEM WILL BE APPLIED WHEREIN 80 POINTS IS FOR PRICE AND 20 POINTS IS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS. TENDERS WITH A RAND VALUE OF BETWEEN R 2 000 BUT NOT EXCEEDING R 50 MILLION (INCLUSIVE OF ALL APPLICABLE TAXES). FIRSTLY, THE SUBMISSION / RFQ WILL BE EVALUATED IN LINE WITH THE SET CRITERIA OR FUNCTIONALITY (IF APPLICABLE) AND THEREAFTER PROPOSAL WILL BE EVALUATED ON POINTS FOR PRICE AND SPECIFIC GOALS.
- 1.7. POINTS SCORED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS WILL BE ADDED TO THE POINTS SCORED FOR PRICE AND THE TOTAL WILL BE ROUNDED OFF TO THE NEAREST TWO (2) DECIMAL PLACES.
- 1.8. A TENDER OR RFQ MUST BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER WHO SCORE THE HIGHEST TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM (PRICE AND SPECIFIC GOALS) UNLESS OBJECTIVE CRITERIA IN TERMS OF SECTION 2 (1)(F) OF THE PPPFA JUSTIFY THE AWARD OF THE TENDER TO ANOTHER TENDERER
- 1.9. BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT RESPONSIVE BIDS BY COMPLETING ALL PRICING AND ITEM INFORMATION IN LINE WITH THE ENTIRE SCOPE OF WORK/GOODS/SERVICES. SHOULD THE SUPPLIER FAIL TO QUOTE ON THE ENTIRE SCOPE OF WORK AS PER THE RFQ THE SAT MAY NOT AWARD THE CONTRACT TO THE SUPPLIER.
- 1.10. THE SAT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO NEGOTIATE WITH THE BIDDERS PRIOR OR POST AWARD.
- 1.11. THE SAT MAY ALLOCATE ZERO/NIL POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS WHERE PROOF IS NOT SUBMITTED WITH THE RFQ.
- 1.12. THE BID MUST BE VALID FOR A PERIOD OF 45 CALENDAR DAYS FROM THE CLOSING DATE AND TIME

2. TAX COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

- 2.1 BIDDERS MUST ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR TAX OBLIGATIONS.
- 2.2 BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THEIR UNIQUE PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (PIN) ISSUED BY SARS TO ENABLE THE ORGAN OF STATE TO VERIFY THE TAXPAYER'S PROFILE AND TAX STATUS.
- 2.3 APPLICATION FOR TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS (TCS) PIN MAY BE MADE VIA E-FILING THROUGH THE SARS WEBSITE WWW.SARS.GOV.ZA
- 2.4 BIDDERS MAY ALSO SUBMIT A PRINTED TCS CERTIFICATE TOGETHER WITH THE BID.
- 2.5 IN BIDS WHERE CONSORTIA / JOINT VENTURES / SUB-CONTRACTORS ARE INVOLVED; EACH PARTY MUST SUBMIT A SEPARATE TCS CERTIFICATE / PIN / CSD NUMBER.
- 2.6 WHERE NO TCS IS AVAILABLE BUT THE BIDDER IS REGISTERED ON THE CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD), A CSD NUMBER MUST BE PROVIDED.

Table A: Provide documentation and/or information [South African Tourism (SAT) reserves the right to review and verify submitted documentations on mandatory requirements/information]	Comply	Not Comply NB:(Non-compliant on any of the below may result in disqualifications unless SAT indicates as not applicable)
1.1. Proof of National Treasury Central Supplier Database (CSD Summary report - SAT may verify the information as per the CSD) (unless supplier is a foreign supplier)		
1.2. CSD Overall Tax Status must be compliant (Tax Compliant during evaluation and award stage) (unless it's a foreign supplier)		
1.3. Conformance to the Scope of Work/ Terms of Reference (ToR)		
1.4. MANDATORY REQUIREMENT: Professional Membership One of the project team members must provide proof of a valid membership to SABPP (South African Board for People Practices) and MUST be registered as an Industrial Psychologist.		

3. LIST OF RETURNABLES

BIDDERS SHOULD PLEASE ADHERE TO THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS

- TICK APPLICABLE BOX
- ENSURE THAT THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLETED, SUBMITTED AND SIGNED WHERE APPLICABLE

ANNEXURES	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	YES	NO
PART A & B	IS BID INVITATION FORM , TERMS, AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING COMPLETED, SIGNED, AND SUBMITTED?		
SUPPLIER IS REQUIRED TO USE THE PRESCRIBED SEQUENCE IN ATTACHING THE ANNEXURES THAT COMPLETE THE BID OR RFQ DOCUMENT			
ANNEXURE A	IS THE STANDARD BID DOCUMENT (SBD4) FORM BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND SUBMITTED?		
ANNEXURE B	IS BIDDER'S SWORN AFFIDAVIT - EXEMPTED MICRO ENTERPRISE (EME) - OR QUALIFYING SMALL ENTERPRISE (QSE) - STILL VALID (FOR A PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS) FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY COMMISSIONER SUBMITTED TO CLAIM POINTS FOR SMME'S?		
ANNEXURE C	IS THE BIDDER'S QUOTED PRICE OR FINANCIAL OFFER SUBMITTED AND ALIGNED WITH THE SCOPE OF WORK? OR STATED IN THE BELOW TABLE OF DESCRIPTION OF SERVICE/GOODS?		

ANNEXURE D	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK WOMAN ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF (A) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, (B) COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT(S) OF THE BLACK WOMAN(E)		
ANNEXURE E	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK PERSON (S) IN THE FORM OF, (A) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE BLACK OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, AND (B) COPY OF IDENTITY DOCUMENTS.		
ANNEXURE F	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK YOUTH ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF (A)) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, (B) COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT(S) OF THE BLACK YOUTH.		
ANNEXURE G	IS THE LATEST REPORT FROM CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) SUBMITTED? THE REPORT WILL BE USED AMONGST OTHERS TO VERIFY TAX COMPLIANT AND BANKING DETAILS. TO FURTHER CONFIRM IF THE SHAREHOLDERS/DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY ARE BLACK WOMEN, BLACK YOUTH OR BLACK-OWNED. INFORMATION AND DETAILS ON BLACK WOMEN, BLACK YOUTH AND BLACK OWNERSHIP SHOULD BE SIMILAR TO THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED ON ANNEXURES C, D,E AND F ABOVE.		

4. APPLICATION OF PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM

4.1 DEFINITIONS

HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED INDIVIDUALS (HDI) IS DEFINED AS A SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZEN -

- WHO, DUE TO THE APARTHEID POLICY THAT WAS IN PLACE, HAD NO VOTING RIGHTS IN THE NATIONAL ELECTIONS PRIOR TO THE INTRODUCTION OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1983 (ACT NO. 100 OF 1983) OR THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1993 (ACT NO. 200 OF 1993) (“*THE INTERIM CONSTITUTION*”) AND OR
- WHO IS A WOMAN AND/OR
- YOUTH

4.2 WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT ANY PERSON WHO RECEIVED SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZENSHIP ON OR BEFORE THE INTRODUCTION OF THE INTERIM CONSTITUTION, WILL NOT BE DEEMED TO BE HDI.

4.3 ANY REFERENCE TO WORDS “BID” OR “BIDDER” HEREIN AND/OR IN ANY OTHER DOCUMENTATION SHALL BE CONSTRUED TO HAVE THE SAME MEANING AS THE WORDS “TENDER” OR “TENDERER”.

4.4 “A WOMAN” REFERS TO A FEMALE PERSON WHO IS A SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZEN

4.5 “HDI EQUITY OWNERSHIP” REFERS TO THE PERCENTAGE OF A PARTNERSHIP OR BUSINESS THAT IS OWNED BY INDIVIDUALS, OR IN THE CASE OF A COMPANY, THE PERCENTAGE OF SHARES WHICH IS OWNED BY INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE MANAGEMENT DECISIONS AND DAY TO DAY OPERATIONAL ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR BUSINESS AND WHO EXERCISES CONTROL IN THE BUSINESS IN RELATION TO THEIR OWNERSHIP AT THE CLOSE OF TENDER. WHERE INDIVIDUALS ARE NOT ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE MANAGEMENT AND DAY TO DAY OPERATIONAL ACTIVITIES OF THE BUSINESS AND WHO DOES NOT EXERCISE CONTROL IN RELATION TO THE PERCENTAGE OF THEIR OWNERSHIP, EQUITY OWNERSHIP POINTS CANNOT BE AWARDED.

4.6 “BLACK PEOPLE” IS A GENERIC TERM WHICH MEANS AFRICANS, COLOURED AND INDIANS WHO ARE CITIZENS OF THE RSA BY BIRTH OR DESCENT OR BY NATURALISATION BEFORE 27 APRIL 1994 OR AFTER.

4.7 “SMALL ENTERPRISE” MEANS A SEPARATE AND DISTINCT BUSINESS ENTITY, TOGETHER WITH ITS BRANCHES OR SUBSIDIARIES, IF ANY, INCLUDING COOPERATIVE ENTERPRISES, MANAGED BY ONE OWNER OR MORE PREDOMINANTLY CARRIED ON IN ANY SECTOR OR SUBSECTOR OF THE ECONOMY.

4.8 “YOUTH” IS A GENERIC TERM WHICH MEANS PERSONS BETWEEN 14 TO 35 YEARS OF AGE. (THE MAXIMUM AGE OF PERSON/DIRECTOR/SHAREHOLDER ETC MUST BE BELOW OR 35 YEARS ON OR BEFORE THE CLOSING DATE AND TIME OF THE RFQ)

4.9 “EXEMPTED MICRO ENTERPRISE (EME)” IN TERMS OF THE GENERIC CODES OF GOOD PRACTICE, IT REFERS TO AN ENTERPRISE WITH AN ANNUAL TOTAL REVENUE OF R 10 MILLION OR LESS.

4.10 “QUALIFYING SMALL ENTERPRISE (QSE)” IN TERMS OF THE GENERIC CODES OF GOOD PRACTICE, IT REFERS TO AN ENTERPRISE WITH AN ANNUAL TOTAL REVENUE OF BETWEEN R 10 MILLION AND R 50 MILLION

4.11 “SPECIFIC GOALS ”REFERS TO CONTRACTING WITH PERSONS, OR CATEGORIES OF PERSONS, HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED BY UNFAIR DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF RACE, GENDER OR DISABILITY AND IMPLEMENTING PROGRAMME AS PUBLISHED IN THE GOVERNMENT GAZETTE NO. 16085 DATED 23 NOVEMBER 1994.

4.12 80 / 20 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM

TENDERERS WILL BE AWARDED POINTS AS FOLLOWS:

The points must be allocated and awarded as follows:

i.	Total Tendered Price	:	80 points	} Specific Goals (Maximum points)
ii.	Black Women Ownership	:	04 points	
iii.	Black Ownership	:	10 points	
iv.	Black Youth	:	02 points	
v.	Small, Medium and Micro Enterprises (SMME's)	:	04 points	
Total		:	100 points	

4.13 THE POINTS SCORED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS WILL BE ADDED TO THE POINTS SCORED FOR PRICE AND THE TOTAL MUST BE ROUNDED OFF TO THE NEAREST 2 DECIMAL PLACES

4.14 TENDER PRICE

THE FOLLOWING FORMULA WILL BE USED TO CALCULATE THE POINTS OUT OF 80 FOR PRICE IN RESPECT OF TENDER WITH A RAND VALUE NOT EXCEEDING R 50 MILLION (INCLUSIVE OF ALL APPLICABLE TAXES). THE LOWEST ACCEPTABLE TENDER MUST SCORE 80 POINTS FOR PRICE, AND OTHER TENDERS WHICH ARE HIGH IN PRICE MUST SCORE FEWER POINTS , ON PRO RATA BASIS.

$$P_s = 80 \left(1 - \frac{P_t - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$$

WHERE -

PS = POINTS SCORED (AWARDED) FOR PRICE OF TENDER UNDER CONSIDERATION

PT = PRICE OF TENDER UNDER CONSIDERATION; AND

PMIN = PRICE OF THE LOWEST ACCEPTABLE TENDER

4.15 SPECIFIC GOALS

4.15.1 % OWNED BY PEOPLE WHO ARE BLACK WOMEN (WO)

A MAXIMUM OF FOUR (04) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS A BLACK WOMAN. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACK WOMEN WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBER/S WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY MANAGEMENT OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK WOMEN -----%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED: $4 \times \frac{\% WO}{100} = 14 \times \frac{\% WO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT (S) OF THE BLACK WOMAN(E)
- LATEST CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) REPORT OF WHICH OWNERSHIP OF THE BLACK WOMAN IS LISTED

4.15.2 % OWNED BY BLACK PEOPLE (BO)

A MAXIMUM OF TEN (10) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS A BLACK AND DID NOT HAVE VOTING RIGHTS ACCORDING TO THE DEFINITION OF AN HDI. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACKS WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBERS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK PERSON(S) WHO DID NOT HAVE VOTING RIGHTS.....%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED: $10 \times \frac{\% BO}{100} = 4 \times \frac{\% BO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) COPY OF ID DOCUMENT.
- b) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION ON THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- c) LATEST CSD REPORT WITH BLACKS AS SHAREHOLDERS/DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY

4.15.3 SMALL, MEDIUM AND MICRO ENTERPRISES (SMME'S)

A MAXIMUM OF FOUR (4) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS CLASSIFIED AS SMME

IS THE COMPANY CLASSIFIED AS EME OR QSE?

YES = 4 POINTS

=

NO = 0 POINT

PROOF OF DOCUMENTATION MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) SWORN AFFIDAVIT THAT IS VALID FOR A PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY THE COMMISSIONER.

4.15.4 % OWNED BY BLACK YOUTH

A MAXIMUM OF TWO (2) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS DISABLED. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACK YOUTH WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBERS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK YOUTH.....%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED : $2 \times \frac{\% DO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) A COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- b) A COPY OF ID DOCUMENT;
- c) LATEST CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) REPORT OF WHICH OWNERSHIP OF THE BLACK YOUTH IS LISTED.

TABLE B: OWNERSHIP

NAME AND SURNAME /ENTITY NAME	GENDER (MALE OR FEMALE)	AGE i.e., 32	CITIZENSHIP (RSA, OR SPECIFY OTHER)	ETHNIC GROUP (BLACK, WHITE, ETC.)	NUMBER OF SHARES PER SHAREHOLDER	PERCENTAGE OF OWNERSHIP (%) PER SHAREHOLDER
Total						

(To be completed by bidder)

TABLE- C: SPECIFIC GOALS

OWNERSHIP	TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF OWNERSHIP	SPECIFIC GOALS POINTS CLAIMED
Black Woman ownership		
Black Ownership		
Black Youth Ownership		
Total		

4.16 THE SAT CAN ONLY AWARD POINTS PROVIDED SUFFICIENT INFORMATION AND REQUIRED DOCUMENTS ARE CORRECTLY COMPLETED AND RETURNED WITH THE PROPOSALS IN LINE WITH LIST OF RETURNABLE DOCUMENTS ON PARAGRAPH THREE (3) ABOVE. POINTS OBTAINED FOR PRICE SHOULD BE ADDED TO POINTS OBTAINED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS.

4.17 TENDER MUST BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER SCORING THE HIGHEST POINTS. HOWEVER, A CONTRACT MAY BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER THAT DID NOT SCORE THE HIGHEST POINTS ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 2 (1)(F) OF THE PPPFA 05 OF 2000.

5. CRITERIA FOR BREAKING DEADLOCK IN SCORING

- IF TWO OR MORE OF THE TENDERERS HAVE SCORED EQUAL TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS, THE CONTRACT WILL BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER THAT SCORED THE HIGHEST POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS;

- b) IF TWO OR MORE TENDERES SCORE EQUAL TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS IN ALL RESPECTS, THE AWARD WILL BE DECIDED BY THE DRAWING OF LOTS

6. DELIVERIES

- a. ALL DELIVERIES MAY BE ACCOMPANIED BY A DELIVERY NOTE OR AN INVOICE OF AN OFFICIAL PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER AGAINST WHICH THE DELIVERY HAS BEEN AFFECTED
- b. DELIVERIES NOT COMPLYING WITH THE PURCHASE ORDER FORM MAY BE RETURNED TO THE SUPPLIER(S) AT THE SUPPLIER'S EXPENSE. THE SAT WILL NOT BE LIABLE FOR PAYMENT OF INCORRECTLY DELIVERED GOODS OR SERVICE

- c. BIDDERS SHOULD INDICATE THE PLANNED DELIVERY PERIOD (IN DAYS) FROM THE DATE AN ORDER IS ISSUED

7. POPIA DISCLAIMER

7.1 COMPLIANCE WITH PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013

PERSONAL INFORMATION SHARED WITH THE SAT SHALL BE TREATED WITH CONFIDENTIALITY AND IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROTECTION OF PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013 (POPIA) AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS DISCLAIMER, "PERSONAL INFORMATION" SHALL BE DEFINED AS DETAILED IN THE PROMOTION OF ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT, ACT 2 OF 2000 (PAIA) AND POPIA, AND "PROCESSING" AND "FURTHER PROCESSING" SHALL BE READ, INTERPRETED AND UNDERSTOOD AS DETAILED AND DEFINED IN POPIA.

7.2 CONSENT TO PROCESSING AND FURTHER PROCESSING OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

THE SAT MAY PROCESS AND FURTHER PROCESS RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION, INTERNALLY OR EXTERNALLY, IN THE EXECUTION OF ITS MANDATE AND/OR AS REQUIRED BY LAW. THE SAT MAY SHARE PERSONAL INFORMATION WITH ITS SERVICE PROVIDERS, AGENTS, CONTRACTORS, LEGAL AND OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISORS AUTHORISED TO PROCESS THIS INFORMATION. THE SAT MAY THUS PLACE RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN DUE TO THE NATURE AND REQUIREMENTS OF ITS WORK.

7.3 FURTHER PROCESSING OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

YOU FURTHER GRANT THE SAT EXPRESS AND/OR IMPLIED PERMISSION TO FURTHER PROCESS RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION AND PLACE IT IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN, IN THE EXECUTION OF ITS MANDATE AND STATUTORY OBLIGATIONS.

7.4 DUTY OF CARE

THE SAT VALUES YOUR PRIVACY AND SHALL TAKE ALL REASONABLE MEASURES TO PROTECT RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION.

7.5 EXEMPTION FROM LIABILITY

THE SAT (INCLUDING ITS OFFICIALS AND/OR EMPLOYEES) ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER, FOR ANY LOSS, DAMAGE (WHETHER DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL, OR CONSEQUENTIAL), AND/OR EXPENSES OF ANY NATURE WHATSOEVER WHICH MAY ARISE AS A RESULT OF, OR WHICH MAY BE ATTRIBUTABLE DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, FROM INFORMATION MADE AVAILABLE HEREIN, OR ACTIONS OR TRANSACTIONS RESULTING THEREFROM

**BACKGROUND AND SCOPE OF WORK****Employee Engagement Survey**

South African Tourism Board (SA Tourism) was established by section 2 of the Tourism Act No 72 of 1993 and continues to exist in terms of section 9 of the new Tourism Act No 3 of 2014. South African Tourism is a schedule 3 A Public Entity in terms of schedule 3 of the Public Finance Management Act 1 of 1999.

The mandate of SA Tourism in terms of the Tourism Act is to provide for the development and promotion of sustainable tourism for the benefit of the Republic, its residents and its visitors. It is common cause that tourism is a key strategic industry in terms of The National Tourism Sector Strategy documents as it supports governments' objectives of alleviating the triple challenges of unemployment, poverty and inequality.

Section 217 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996, prescribes that goods and services must be contracted through a system that is fair, equitable, transparent, competitive and cost-effective and also confers a constitutional right on every potential supplier to offer goods and services to the public sector when needed.

Having regard for the aforementioned SA Tourism is hereby extending an invitation to your firm, as part of a competitive bidding process, to submit a cost proposal to conduct an Employee Engagement Survey. At SAT we aim to gauge the level of employee satisfaction, identify areas for improvement, and foster a more engaged and productive workforce.

With our head offices in Johannesburg, SA Tourism also has offices and employees in the following countries of which the employee engagement survey must be extended to:

- Nigeria;
- France;
- Germany;
- United Kingdom;
- Netherlands;
- United States of America;
- Australia
- India;
- China; and
- Japan.

Scope of work

To this effect, SA Tourism wishes to procure the following:

Objectives of the Assignment

The objectives of this assignment are to:

- a) Measure and assess levels of employee engagement across all business units and locations.
- b) Identify key drivers, strengths, and barriers to engagement, collaboration, and productivity.
- c) Enable leadership to make informed, strategic decisions to improve engagement, retention, and performance.
- d) Enhance SA Tourism's employee experience and internal culture in alignment with organisational values and strategy.
- e) Develop a practical, evidence-based two-year engagement improvement plan, with annual and quarterly deliverables.
- f) Present staff engagement report to executive committee.
- g) Must be able provide a complete engagement score for the entire organisation as well as individual business units.
- h) Provide action plan customised to each business unit's results.
- i) Present feedback to individual business units accompanied by action plan.

Scope of Services and Deliverables

The service provider will be expected to undertake the following activities and deliver the outputs as outlined:

1. Planning and Survey Design

- Conduct a project inception meeting to agree on methodology, timelines, and deliverables.
- Develop a detailed project plan with key milestones and risk mitigation strategies.
- Design and localise an employee engagement questionnaire using both qualitative and quantitative measures, including:
 - Organisational culture
 - Leadership effectiveness
 - Work environment
 - Communication
 - Values alignment
 - Career development
 - Psychological safety
- Prepare employee contact lists for survey distribution.
- Translate survey instruments as required to suit regional office languages (e.g., French, German, Mandarin).
- Ensure the survey meets global data privacy standards (POPIA, GDPR, etc.).
- Conduct testing of the online survey tool and validation of logic and functionality.

2. Survey Deployment and Data Collection

- Deploy a multilingual, mobile-friendly, anonymous online survey to all employees across SA Tourism's global footprint.
- Send targeted email reminders to improve response rates.
- Provide weekly progress updates and a real-time dashboard showing participation rates by location and department.
- Aim for a minimum response rate of **75%**, with proactive strategies to address underperforming segments.
- Validate and clean data before analysis.

3. Data Analysis and Insight Generation

- Conduct **quantitative and qualitative analysis**, segmented by:
 - Business unit/department
 - Country/region
 - Demographics (e.g., gender, tenure, level)
- Identify key trends, strengths, risk areas, and disengagement drivers.
- Provide **benchmarking** insights against public sector and/or industry standards.
- Translate findings into actionable insights aligned with SAT's strategic pillars, values, and high-performance culture goals.

4. Strategic Recommendations and Action Planning

- Develop a **comprehensive two-year Employee Engagement Improvement Plan**, including:
 - Annual strategic objectives
 - Quarterly deliverables and milestones
 - Implementation recommendations
 - Assigned responsibilities (where possible)
 - Proposed monitoring indicators
- Align the plan with the organisation's culture journey, talent management framework, and OD goals.

5. Reporting and Presentation

- Submit:
 - Full survey report (editable)
 - Departmental summaries
 - Executive summary
 - Data visualisation dashboards and heatmaps
 - Raw data in Excel or CSV format
- Deliver a professional PowerPoint presentation of results to:
 - Executive Management
 - Human Capital Leadership

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

SM

- Organisational Development and Culture Teams
- Facilitate a **feedback and interpretation workshop** to enable internal teams to apply findings effectively

6. Optional Value-Added Services (where applicable)

- Provide “pulse survey” templates for quarterly follow-up.
- Deliver manager toolkits to support local action planning.
- Offer technical support on incorporating findings into HRIS or performance systems.
- Provide advisory support for internal communications on survey results

TABLE D: DELIVERABLES

No:	Deliverable	Description
1	Survey Framework	Survey methodology, questionnaires, and project plan
2	Survey Deployment	Complete deployment across all offices and real-time participation updates
3	Data Analysis Report	Departmental and organisational findings with segmented insights
4	Strategic Action Plan	Two-year improvement plan with quarterly milestones
5	Presentations	Stakeholder-ready reports, visual dashboards, and PowerPoint decks
6	Data Files	Editable documents and raw datasets for internal use
7	Workshop	Post-survey debrief and capacity-building session

The objectives of this assignment are the following:

- a) To enhance overall productivity and performance by ensuring that employees are motivated, committed, and actively contributing to the organization's goals.
- b) To attract and retain top talent by creating a workplace culture that values and supports employees' well-being and professional growth.
- c) To foster a culture of innovation and creativity by encouraging open communication, collaboration, and a sense of ownership among employees.

Deliverables:

- a. Conduct an online qualitative and quantitative employee engagement survey to all of the SAT offices.
- b. Report on quantitative and qualitative results and provide a detailed analysis per department to identify key trends, strengths, weaknesses, and areas for improvement.
- c. Provide guidance on implementing initiatives to enhance employee engagement based on survey results.
 - a. Ensure that a qualified Industrial Psychologist facilitates at least two focus group sessions with each business unit, including country offices, to identify and assess the underlying factors affecting team effectiveness, collaboration, and workplace dynamics. The outcomes of these engagements should include practical, evidence-based recommendations and a clear action plan to address identified challenges and strengthen team and business unit performance.
- d. Present findings in a clear and actionable format, including visual representations of data. (Include actionable recommendations and strategies to address identified issues.).

Cost Structure:

- Be advised to submit a **detailed** financial proposal on a company letterhead with a cost breakdown for the assignment based on resources and/or time allocated to the project
- SA Tourism reserves the right to request additional information or clarity on cost proposals prior to the evaluation thereof.

TABLE E: SCOPE OF WORK – PRICING

NO	SCOPE OF WORK (DESCRIPTION SERVICES OR GOODS)	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
	TOTAL PRICE EXCLUDING VAT		
	VAT@ 15 % (ONLY IF THE BIDDER/SUPPLIER IS REGISTERED FOR VAT)		
	GRAND TOTAL INCLUDING VAT (TOTAL RFQ PRICE)		

TABLE F: FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA

EVALUATION CRITERIA

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Weight
The bids will be evaluated on a scale of 0 - 3 in accordance with the criteria below.	
1. Credentials and experience relevant to the scope of work 5+ years of the company's experience in working with similar projects - Experience in working on similar projects (Industry, methodology, and size; suppliers should clearly state work done similar to a project of this nature) The supplier is required to provide three (3) contactable client references where its services can be verified. References should be presented in the form of a written letter on official letterhead from clients where similar services have been provided and should not be older than three (3) years. No appointment letters from clients will be accepted as reference letters. Score of 1 = 1 Contactable reference in line with scope of work – with an adequate response Score of 2 = 2 Contactable references in line with scope of work - with an adequate response Score of 3 = 3 Contactable references in line with scope of work are included in the proposal - with an adequate response NB: Score of zero (0) – Reference letters not related to scope of work	20
2. Proposed methodology, technique, and approach to be applied to the project: - There needs to be a clear outline of the methodology and work to be done - Proposal to include an example of how the methodology will be applied (Provide one (1) example) - Proposal to include projected timelines for implementation Score of 1 = One of the above included – with an adequate response Score of 2 = Two of the above included – with an adequate response Score of 3 = Everything above is included in the proposal - with an adequate response NB: Score of zero (0) – non-submission of any of the above	30

3. Project team and each team member's credentials and roles - Industrial psychologist Submit a CV and qualifications of the Industrial psychologist that are aligned to the scope of work and are included in the proposal. Minimum of 3 years' experience. Industrial Psychologist to provide Proof of registration with HPCSA as an industrial psychologist. NQF Level 9. Score of 1 – Registered as an industrial psychologist with 3 years' experience Score of 2 – Registered Industrial Psychologist with between 3-4 years' experience Score of 3 – Registered industrial Psychologist with more than 5 years' experience NB: Score of zero (0) – non-submission of CV and/or qualifications.	20
4. Project team and each team member's credentials and roles – Project Manager The Project Manager's CV and qualifications must align with the scope of work and must be included in the proposal. Project Manager in line with scope of work (Employee engagement survey, organizational culture analysis) Score of 1 – Project Manager with 3 years' experience Score of 2 – Project Manager with between 3-4 years' experience. Score of 3 – Project Manager with more than 5 years' experience. NB: Score of zero (0) – non-submission of CV and/or qualifications.	15
5. Project team and each team member's credentials and roles – Data Analyst The Data Analyst's CV and qualifications must align with the scope of work and must be included in the proposal. Data Analyst (Relevant Data Analyst qualification NQF Level 7). Score of 1 – Data Analyst with 3 years' experience Score of 2 – Data Analyst with between 3-4 years' experience. Score of 3 – Data Analyst with more than 5 years' experience. NB: Score of zero (0) – non-submission of CV and/or qualifications.	15
Total Points for Functionality	100
A threshold of 70% is applicable.	

1.1 Proposals/ Bids will be evaluated strictly according to the RFQ evaluation criteria stipulated in this section.

1.2 Bidders must, as part of their bid/proposal documents, submit supportive documentation for all functional requirements as indicated above.

1.3 The score for functionality will be calculated as in terms of the table below, where each bid evaluation member will rate each individual criterion on the score sheet using the following value scale:

1.4 The value scored for each criterion will be multiplied by the specified weighting for the relevant criterion to obtain the marks scored for each criterion. These scores will be added and expressed as a fraction of the best possible score for all criteria.

1.5 Only Bidders that have met the 70-point threshold in Phase II will be evaluated in Phase III for a comparative price. The successful bidder will be the most cost-effective service provider.

BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE (SBD 4)**1. Bidder's declaration**

2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest¹ in the enterprise, employed by the state?

YES/NO

2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

2.2 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution?

YES/NO

2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....

2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract?

YES/NO

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....

3 DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, (name)..... in submitting the accompanying bid,

¹ the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium² will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
- 3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.
- 3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.
I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

NB: FAILURE TO SUBMIT DULY COMPLETED FORMS AND SIGNED AUTHORISATION DECLARATION, WITH THE REQUIRED ANNEXURE(S), IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ABOVE PROVISIONS MAY INVALIDATE THE BID FOR SUCH GOODS OR SERVICES OFFERED.

SIGNATURE OF BIDDER:.....

CAPACITY UNDER WHICH THIS BID IS SIGNED:.....

(Proof of authority must be submitted e.g. company resolution)

DATE:.....

² Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

SBD 6.1

PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
- the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).

1.2 To be completed by the organ of state

(delete whichever is not applicable for this tender).

- a) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **90/10** preference point system.
- b) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **80/20** preference point system.
- c) Either the **90/10 or 80/20 preference point system** will be applicable in this tender. The lowest/ highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the accurate system once tenders are received.

1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific Goals.

1.4 To be completed by the organ of state:

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	
SPECIFIC GOALS	
Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS	100

1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.

1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

2. DEFINITIONS

- (a) **“tender”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method

envisaged in legislation;

SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM

- (b) **“price”** means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) **“tender for income-generating contracts”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) **“the Act”** means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

3. FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

3.1.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20	or	90/10
$Ps = 80 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$	or	$Ps = 90 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$

Where

- Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration
- Pt = Price of tender under consideration
- Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

3.2. FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

3.2.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20	or	90/10
$Ps = 80 \left(1 + \frac{Pt - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right)$	or	$Ps = 90 \left(1 + \frac{Pt - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right)$

Where

- Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration
- Pt = Price of tender under consideration
- Pmax = Price of highest acceptable tender

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

- 4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:
- 4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

documents, stipulate in the case of—

SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM

- (a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or
- (b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,

then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.

(Note to organs of state: Where either the 90/10 or 80/20 preference point system is applicable, corresponding points must also be indicated as such.

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (90/10 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (90/10 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
Black Women Ownership	02	04		
Black Youth Ownership	02	02		
Black Ownership	04	10		
Small, Medium, and Micro Enterprises (SMMEs)	02	04		
Total	10	20		

DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM

4.3. Name of company/firm.....

4.4. Company registration number:

4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- ☐ Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- ☐ One-person business/sole propriety
- ☐ Close corporation
- ☐ Public Company
- ☐ Personal Liability Company
- ☐ (Pty) Limited
- ☐ Non-Profit Company
- ☐ State Owned Company

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION



[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM

- 4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:
- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
 - ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
 - iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;
 - iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have -
 - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
 - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
 - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.

.....
SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)

SURNAME AND NAME:

DATE:

ADDRESS:

.....
.....
.....