



**PART A
REQUEST FOR QUOTATIONS (RFQ)**

YOU ARE HEREBY INVITED TO BID FOR THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE (SA TOURISM)						
RFQ NUMBER:	RFQ-SIA-002-26	CLOSING DATE:	15 JUNE 2026	CLOSING TIME:	14:00 (SA TIME)	
DESCRIPTION	SAT CORPORATE BRAND INDEX					
BID RESPONSE DOCUMENTS MAY BE PROVIDED BY THE FOLLOWING METHODS						
All proposals must be uploaded using the following quotes@southafrica.net NOTE: IT IS THE BIDDER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO ENSURE THE COMPLETED RFQ DOCUMENT WITH APPROPRIATE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS ARE DELIVERED TO THE CORRECT ADDRESS ON OR BEFORE THE CLOSING DATE AND TIME.						
BIDDING PROCEDURE ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO						
CONTACT PERSON	FRANCINA TLALI					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	011-895-3000					
E-MAIL ADDRESS	quotes@southafrica.net					
SUPPLIER INFORMATION						
NAME OF BIDDER						
POSTAL ADDRESS						
STREET ADDRESS						
TELEPHONE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER			
CELLPHONE NUMBER						
E-MAIL ADDRESS						
VAT REGISTRATION NUMBER (If applicable)						
SUPPLIER COMPLIANCE STATUS	TAX COMPLIANCE SYSTEM PIN:		OR	CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE No:	MAAA	
ARE YOU A FOREIGN BASED SUPPLIER FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?				☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES, PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS]		
QUESTIONNAIRE TO BIDDING FOREIGN SUPPLIERS						
IS THE ENTITY A RESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA (RSA)?				☐ YES ☐ NO		
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A BRANCH IN THE RSA?				☐ YES ☐ NO		
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT IN THE RSA?				☐ YES ☐ NO		
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE ANY SOURCE OF INCOME IN THE RSA?				☐ YES ☐ NO		
IS THE ENTITY LIABLE IN THE RSA FOR ANY FORM OF TAXATION?				☐ YES ☐ NO		



SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM

IF THE ANSWER IS "NO" TO ALL OF THE ABOVE, THEN IT IS NOT A REQUIREMENT TO REGISTER FOR A TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS SYSTEM PIN CODE FROM THE SOUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICE (SARS) AND IF NOT REGISTER AS PER 2.3 BELOW.

PART B
TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING

1. BID SUBMISSION:

- 1.1. BIDS MUST BE DELIVERED BY THE STIPULATED DATE AND TIME TO THE CORRECT EMAIL ADDRESS AND OR PLATFORM. NO HAND DELIVERY MAY BE ACCEPTED. ALL COMPLETED DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE SEND TO QUOTES@SOUTHAFRICA.NET . BIDDERS FAILURE TO COMPLETE THE REGISTER WILL INVALIDATE THE RFQ. LATE BIDS/RFQ WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED WHEN MAKING A DECISION TO AWARD.
- 1.2. ALL BIDS MUST BE SUBMITTED ON THE OFFICIAL FORMS PROVIDED - OR IN THE MANNER PRESCRIBED IN THE BID DOCUMENT. THE STANDARDS BIDDING DOCUMENTS (SBD) FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND RETURNED WITH THE RFQ / BID DOCUMENTS.
- 1.3. BIDDER'S ARE NOT ALLOWED TO ALTER THE CONTENT AND SEQUENCE OF INFORMATION IN THE SBD4 FORM.
- 1.4. THE UNDERSIGNED BIDDER DECLARES AND FURTHER AGREES TO HAVE READ 2010 VERSION OF THE GENERAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT (GCC) IS AVAILABLE ON THE NATIONAL TREASURY WEBSITE. TO ACCESS THE GCC THE BIDDER SHOULD CLICK THE FOLLOWING LINK <http://www.treasury.gov.za/divisions/ocpo/sc/GeneralConditions/> OR DOWNLOAD THE DOCUMENT FROM THE WEBSITE OF NATIONAL TREASURY.
- 1.5. THE 80 / 20 PREFERENTIAL POINT SYSTEM WILL BE APPLIED WHEREIN 80 POINTS IS FOR PRICE AND 20 POINTS IS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS. TENDERS WITH A RAND VALUE OF BETWEEN R 2 000 BUT NOT EXCEEDING R 50 MILLION (INCLUSIVE OF ALL APPLICABLE TAXES). FIRSTLY, THE SUBMISSION / RFQ WILL BE EVALUATED IN LINE WITH THE SET CRITERIA OR FUNCTIONALITY (IF APPLICABLE) AND THEREAFTER PROPOSAL WILL BE EVALUATED ON POINTS FOR PRICE AND SPECIFIC GOALS.
- 1.6. POINTS SCORED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS WILL BE ADDED TO THE POINTS SCORED FOR PRICE AND THE TOTAL WILL BE ROUNDED OFF TO THE NEAREST TWO (2) DECIMAL PLACES.
- 1.7. A TENDER OR RFQ MUST BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER WHO SCORE THE HIGHEST TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM (PRICE AND SPECIFIC GOALS) UNLESS OBJECTIVE CRITERIA IN TERMS OF SECTION 2 (1)(F) OF THE PPPFA JUSTIFY THE AWARD OF THE TENDER TO ANOTHER TENDERER
- 1.8. BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT RESPONSIVE BIDS BY COMPLETING ALL PRICING AND ITEM INFORMATION IN LINE WITH THE ENTIRE SCOPE OF WORK/GOODS/SERVICES. SHOULD THE SUPPLIER FAIL TO QUOTE ON THE ENTIRE SCOPE OF WORK AS PER THE RFQ THE SAT MAY NOT AWARD THE CONTRACT TO THE SUPPLIER.
- 1.9. THE SAT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO NEGOTIATE WITH THE BIDDERS PRIOR OR POST AWARD.
- 1.10. THE SAT MAY ALLOCATE ZERO/NIL POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS WHERE PROOF IS NOT SUBMITTED WITH THE RFQ.
- 1.11. THE BID MUST BE VALID FOR A PERIOD OF 45 CALENDAR DAYS FROM THE CLOSING DATE AND TIME

2. TAX COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

- 2.1 BIDDERS MUST ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR TAX OBLIGATIONS.
- 2.2 BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THEIR UNIQUE PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (PIN) ISSUED BY SARS TO ENABLE THE ORGAN OF STATE TO VERIFY THE TAXPAYER'S PROFILE AND TAX STATUS.
- 2.3 APPLICATION FOR TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS (TCS) PIN MAY BE MADE VIA E-FILING THROUGH THE SARS WEBSITE WWW.SARS.GOV.ZA
- 2.4 BIDDERS MAY ALSO SUBMIT A PRINTED TCS CERTIFICATE TOGETHER WITH THE BID.
- 2.5 IN BIDS WHERE CONSORTIA / JOINT VENTURES / SUB-CONTRACTORS ARE INVOLVED; EACH PARTY MUST SUBMIT A SEPARATE TCS CERTIFICATE / PIN / CSD NUMBER.
- 2.6 WHERE NO TCS IS AVAILABLE BUT THE BIDDER IS REGISTERED ON THE CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD), A CSD NUMBER MUST BE PROVIDED.

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

Table A: <i>Provide documentation and/or information [South African Tourism (SAT) reserves the right to review and verify submitted documentation on administrative and mandatory requirements/information]</i>		Comply	Not Comply NB:(Non-compliant on any of the below will result in disqualification unless SAT indicates as not applicable)
1.1. Proof of National Treasury Central Supplier Database (CSD Summary report - SAT may verify the information as per the CSD) (unless supplier is a foreign supplier)			
1.2. CSD Overall Tax Status must be compliant (Tax Compliant during evaluation and award stage) (unless it's a foreign supplier)			
1.3. Conformance to the Scope of Work/ Terms of Reference (ToR)			
1.4. Reference Letters - Bidder to submit a minimum of three (3) relevant reference letters from the past four (4) years of similar work done. In a form of written proof(s) on their client's letterhead, including relevant contact person(s), office telephone & fax number, website, and email address where similar work was undertaken.			
1.5. Overview of the methodology your firm uses to facilitate the development of implementation plans.			
MANDATORY:BRIEFING SESSION Briefing Date: 04 JUNE 2026 Briefing time: 11:00am MEETING LINK: https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/326056544878610?p=mPrSPkyAHSZbBxBMud Meeting ID: 326 056 544 878 610 Passcode: vc7zb3tf			

3. LIST OF RETURNABLES

BIDDERS SHOULD PLEASE ADHERE TO THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS

a) TICK APPLICABLE BOX

b) ENSURE THAT THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLETED, SUBMITTED AND SIGNED WHERE APPLICABLE

ANNEXURES	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	YES	NO
PART A & B	IS BID INVITATION FORM , TERMS, AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING COMPLETED, SIGNED,		



	AND SUBMITTED?		
SUPPLIER IS REQUIRED TO USE THE PRESCRIBED SEQUENCE IN ATTACHING THE ANNEXURES THAT COMPLETE THE BID OR RFQ DOCUMENT			
ANNEXURE A	IS THE STANDARD BID DOCUMENT (SBD4) FORM BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND SUBMITTED?		
ANNEXURE B	IS BIDDER'S SWORN AFFIDAVIT - EXEMPTED MICRO ENTERPRISE (EME) - OR QUALIFYING SMALL ENTERPRISE (QSE) - STILL VALID (FOR A <i>PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS</i>) FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY COMMISSIONER SUBMITTED TO CLAIM POINTS FOR SMME'S?		
ANNEXURE C	IS THE BIDDER'S QUOTED PRICE OR FINANCIAL OFFER SUBMITTED AND ALIGNED WITH THE SCOPE OF WORK? OR STATED IN THE BELOW TABLE OF DESCRIPTION OF SERVICE/GOODS?		
ANNEXURE D	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK WOMAN ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF (A) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, (B) COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT(S) OF THE BLACK WOMAN(E)		
ANNEXURE E	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK PERSON (S) IN THE FORM OF, (A) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE BLACK OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, AND (B) COPY OF IDENTITY DOCUMENTS.		
ANNEXURE F	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK YOUTH ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF (A)) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, (B) COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT(S) OF THE BLACK YOUTH.		
ANNEXURE G	IS THE LATEST REPORT FROM CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) SUBMITTED? THE REPORT WILL BE USED AMONGST OTHERS TO VERIFY TAX COMPLIANT AND BANKING DETAILS. TO FURTHER CONFIRM IF THE SHAREHOLDERS/DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY ARE BLACK WOMEN, BLACK YOUTH OR BLACK-OWNED. INFORMATION AND DETAILS ON BLACK WOMEN, BLACK YOUTH AND BLACK OWNERSHIP SHOULD BE SIMILAR TO THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED ON ANNEXURES C, D,E AND F ABOVE.		

4. APPLICATION OF PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM

4.1 DEFINITIONS

HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED INDIVIDUALS (HDI) IS DEFINED AS A SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZEN -

- a) WHO, DUE TO THE APARTHEID POLICY THAT WAS IN PLACE, HAD NO VOTING RIGHTS IN THE NATIONAL ELECTIONS PRIOR TO THE INTRODUCTION OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1983 (ACT NO. 100 OF 1983) OR THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1993 (ACT NO. 200 OF 1993) (*"THE INTERIM CONSTITUTION*) AND OR
- b) WHO IS A WOMAN AND/OR
- c) YOUTH

4.2 WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT ANY PERSON WHO RECEIVED SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZENSHIP ON OR BEFORE THE INTRODUCTION OF THE INTERIM CONSTITUTION, WILL NOT BE DEEMED TO BE HDI.

4.3 ANY REFERENCE TO WORDS "BID" OR "BIDDER" HEREIN AND/OR IN ANY OTHER DOCUMENTATION SHALL BE CONSTRUED TO HAVE THE SAME MEANING AS THE WORDS "TENDER" OR "TENDERER".

4.4 "A WOMAN" REFERS TO A FEMALE PERSON WHO IS A SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZEN

4.5 "HDI EQUITY OWNERSHIP" REFERS TO THE PERCENTAGE OF A PARTNERSHIP OR BUSINESS THAT IS OWNED BY INDIVIDUALS, OR IN THE CASE OF A COMPANY, THE PERCENTAGE OF SHARES WHICH IS OWNED BY INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE MANAGEMENT DECISIONS AND DAY TO DAY OPERATIONAL ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR BUSINESS AND WHO EXERCISES CONTROL IN THE BUSINESS IN RELATION TO THEIR OWNERSHIP AT THE CLOSE OF TENDER. WHERE INDIVIDUALS ARE NOT ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE MANAGEMENT AND DAY TO DAY OPERATIONAL ACTIVITIES OF THE BUSINESS AND WHO DOES NOT EXERCISE CONTROL IN RELATION TO THE PERCENTAGE OF THEIR OWNERSHIP, EQUITY OWNERSHIP POINTS CANNOT BE AWARDED.

4.6 "BLACK PEOPLE" IS A GENERIC TERM WHICH MEANS AFRICANS, COLOUREDS AND INDIANS WHO ARE CITIZENS OF THE RSA BY BIRTH OR DESCENT OR BY NATURALISATION BEFORE 27 APRIL 1994 OR AFTER.

4.7 "SMALL ENTERPRISE" MEANS A SEPARATE AND DISTINCT BUSINESS ENTITY, TOGETHER WITH ITS BRANCHES OR

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

SUBSIDIARIES, IF ANY, INCLUDING COOPERATIVE ENTERPRISES, MANAGED BY ONE OWNER OR MORE PREDOMINANTLY CARRIED ON IN ANY SECTOR OR SUBSECTOR OF THE ECONOMY.

4.8 “YOUTH” IS A GENERIC TERM WHICH MEANS PERSONS BETWEEN 14 TO 35 YEARS OF AGE. (THE MAXIMUM AGE OF PERSON/DIRECTOR/SHAREHOLDER ETC MUST BE BELOW OR 35 YEARS ON OR BEFORE THE CLOSING DATE AND TIME OF THE RFQ)

4.9 “EXEMPTED MICRO ENTERPRISE (EME)” IN TERMS OF THE GENERIC CODES OF GOOD PRACTICE, IT REFERS TO AN ENTERPRISE WITH AN ANNUAL TOTAL REVENUE OF R 10 MILLION OR LESS.

4.10 “QUALIFYING SMALL ENTERPRISE (QSE)” IN TERMS OF THE GENERIC CODES OF GOOD PRACTICE, IT REFERS TO AN ENTERPRISE WITH AN ANNUAL TOTAL REVENUE OF BETWEEN R 10 MILLION AND R 50 MILLION

4.11 “SPECIFIC GOALS ”REFERS TO CONTRACTING WITH PERSONS, OR CATEGORIES OF PERSONS, HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED BY UNFAIR DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF RACE, GENDER OR DISABILITY AND IMPLEMENTING PROGRAMME AS PUBLISHED IN THE GOVERNMENT GAZETTE NO. 16085 DATED 23 NOVEMBER 1994.

4.12 80 / 20 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM

TENDERERS WILL BE AWARDED POINTS AS FOLLOWS:

The points must be allocated and awarded as follows:

i. Total Tendered Price	:	80 points	} Specific Goals (Maximum points)
ii. Black Women Ownership	:	04 points	
iii. Black Ownership	:	10 points	
iv. Black Youth	:	02 points	
v. Small, Medium and Micro Enterprises (SMME's)	:	04 points	
Total	:	100 points	

4.13 THE POINTS SCORED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS WILL BE ADDED TO THE POINTS SCORED FOR PRICE AND THE TOTAL MUST BE ROUNDED OFF TO THE NEAREST 2 DECIMAL PLACES

4.14 TENDER PRICE

THE FOLLOWING FORMULA WILL BE USED TO CALCULATE THE POINTS OUT OF 80 FOR PRICE IN RESPECT OF TENDER WITH A RAND VALUE NOT EXCEEDING R 50 MILLION (INCLUSIVE OF ALL APPLICABLE TAXES). THE LOWEST ACCEPTABLE TENDER MUST SCORE 80 POINTS FOR PRICE, AND OTHER TENDERS WHICH ARE HIGH IN PRICE MUST SCORE FEWER POINTS , ON PRO RATA BASIS.

$$P_s = 80 \left(1 - \frac{P_t - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$$

WHERE -

PS = POINTS SCORED (AWARDED) FOR PRICE OF TENDER UNDER CONSIDERATION

PT = PRICE OF TENDER UNDER CONSIDERATION; AND

PMIN = PRICE OF THE LOWEST ACCEPTABLE TENDER

4.15 SPECIFIC GOALS

4.15.1 % OWNED BY PEOPLE WHO ARE BLACK WOMEN (WO)

A MAXIMUM OF FOUR (04) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS A BLACK WOMAN. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACK WOMEN WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBER/S WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY MANAGEMENT OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK WOMEN -----%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED: $4 \times \frac{\% WO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- b) COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT (S) OF THE BLACK WOMAN(E)
- c) LATEST CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) REPORT OF WHICH OWNERSHIP OF THE BLACK WOMAN IS LISTED

4.15.2 % OWNED BY BLACK PEOPLE (BO)

A MAXIMUM OF TEN (10) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS A BLACK AND DID NOT HAVE VOTING RIGHTS ACCORDING TO THE DEFINITION OF AN HDI. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACKS WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBERS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK PERSON(S) WHO DID NOT HAVE VOTING RIGHTS.....%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED: $10 \times \frac{\% BO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) COPY OF ID DOCUMENT.
- b) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION ON THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- c) LATEST CSD REPORT WITH BLACKS AS SHAREHOLDERS/DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY

4.15.3 SMALL, MEDIUM AND MICRO ENTERPRISES (SMME'S)

A MAXIMUM OF FOUR (4) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS CLASSIFIED AS SMME

IS THE COMPANY CLASSIFIED AS EME OR QSE?

YES = 4 POINTS

NO = 0 POINT

PROOF OF DOCUMENTATION MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) SWORN AFFIDAVIT THAT IS VALID FOR A PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY THE COMMISSIONER.

4.15.4 % OWNED BY BLACK YOUTH

A MAXIMUM OF TWO (2) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS DISABLED. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACK YOUTH WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBERS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK YOUTH.....%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED : $2 \times \frac{\% DO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) A COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- b) A COPY OF ID DOCUMENT;
- c) LATEST CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) REPORT OF WHICH OWNERSHIP OF THE BLACK YOUTH IS LISTED.

TABLE B: OWNERSHIP

NAME AND SURNAME /ENTITY NAME	GENDER (MALE OR FEMALE)	AGE i.e., 32	CITIZENSHIP (RSA, OR SPECIFY OTHER)	ETHNIC GROUP (BLACK, WHITE, ETC.)	NUMBER OF SHARES PER SHAREHOLDER	PERCENTAGE OF OWNERSHIP (%) PER SHAREHOLDER
Total						

(To be completed by bidder)

TABLE- C: SPECIFIC GOALS

OWNERSHIP	TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF OWNERSHIP	SPECIFIC GOALS POINTS CLAIMED
Black Woman ownership		
Black Ownership		
Black Youth Ownership		
Total		

4.16 THE SAT CAN ONLY AWARD POINTS PROVIDED SUFFICIENT INFORMATION AND REQUIRED DOCUMENTS ARE CORRECTLY COMPLETED AND RETURNED WITH THE PROPOSALS IN LINE WITH LIST OF RETURNABLE DOCUMENTS ON PARAGRAPH THREE (3) ABOVE. POINTS OBTAINED FOR PRICE SHOULD BE ADDED TO POINTS OBTAINED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS.

4.17 TENDER MUST BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER SCORING THE HIGHEST POINTS. HOWEVER, A CONTRACT MAY BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER THAT DID NOT SCORE THE HIGHEST POINTS ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 2 (1)(F) OF THE PPPFA 05 OF 2000.

5. CRITERIA FOR BREAKING DEADLOCK IN SCORING

- a) IF TWO OR MORE OF THE TENDERERS HAVE SCORED EQUAL TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS, THE CONTRACT WILL BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER THAT SCORED THE HIGHEST POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS;
- b) IF TWO OR MORE TENDERES SCORE EQUAL TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS IN ALL RESPECTS, THE AWARD WILL BE DECIDED BY THE DRAWING OF LOTS

6. DELIVERIES

- a. ALL DELIVERIES MAY BE ACCOMPANIED BY A DELIVERY NOTE OR AN INVOICE OF AN OFFICIAL PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER AGAINST WHICH THE DELIVERY HAS BEEN AFFECTED
- b. DELIVERIES NOT COMPLYING WITH THE PURCHASE ORDER FORM MAY BE RETURNED TO THE SUPPLIER(S) AT THE SUPPLIER'S EXPENSE. THE SAT WILL NOT BE LIABLE FOR PAYMENT OF INCORRECTLY DELIVERED GOODS OR SERVICE
- c. BIDDERS SHOULD INDICATE THE PLANNED DELIVERY PERIOD (IN DAYS) FROM THE DATE AN ORDER IS ISSUED

7. POPIA DISCLAIMER

7.1 COMPLIANCE WITH PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013

PERSONAL INFORMATION SHARED WITH THE SAT SHALL BE TREATED WITH CONFIDENTIALITY AND IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROTECTION OF PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013 (POPIA) AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS DISCLAIMER, "PERSONAL INFORMATION" SHALL BE DEFINED AS DETAILED IN THE PROMOTION OF ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT, ACT 2 OF 2000 (PAIA) AND POPIA, AND "PROCESSING" AND "FURTHER PROCESSING" SHALL BE READ, INTERPRETED AND UNDERSTOOD AS DETAILED AND DEFINED IN POPIA.

7.2 CONSENT TO PROCESSING AND FURTHER PROCESSING OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

THE SAT MAY PROCESS AND FURTHER PROCESS RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION, INTERNALLY OR EXTERNALLY, IN THE EXECUTION OF ITS MANDATE AND/OR AS REQUIRED BY LAW. THE SAT MAY SHARE PERSONAL INFORMATION WITH ITS SERVICE PROVIDERS, AGENTS, CONTRACTORS, LEGAL AND OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISORS AUTHORISED TO PROCESS THIS INFORMATION. THE SAT MAY THUS PLACE RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN DUE TO THE NATURE AND REQUIREMENTS OF ITS WORK.

7.3 FURTHER PROCESSING OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

YOU FURTHER GRANT THE SAT EXPRESS AND/OR IMPLIED PERMISSION TO FURTHER PROCESS RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION AND PLACE IT IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN, IN THE EXECUTION OF ITS MANDATE AND STATUTORY OBLIGATIONS.

7.4 DUTY OF CARE

THE SAT VALUES YOUR PRIVACY AND SHALL TAKE ALL REASONABLE MEASURES TO PROTECT RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION.

7.5 EXEMPTION FROM LIABILITY

THE SAT (INCLUDING ITS OFFICIALS AND/OR EMPLOYEES) ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER, FOR ANY LOSS, DAMAGE (WHETHER DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL, OR CONSEQUENTIAL), AND/OR EXPENSES OF ANY NATURE WHATSOEVER WHICH MAY ARISE AS A RESULT OF, OR WHICH MAY BE ATTRIBUTABLE DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, FROM INFORMATION MADE AVAILABLE HEREIN, OR ACTIONS OR TRANSACTIONS RESULTING THEREFROM

1. Background

South African Tourism Board (SA Tourism) was established by section 2 of the Tourism Act No 72 of 1993 and continues to exist in terms of section 9 of the new Tourism Act No 3 of 2014. South African Tourism is a schedule 3 A Public Entity in terms of schedule 3 of the Public Finance Management Act 1 of 1999.

The mandate of SA Tourism in terms of the Tourism Act is to provide for the development and promotion of sustainable tourism for the benefit of the Republic, its residents and its visitors. It is common cause that tourism is a key strategic industry in terms of The National Tourism Sector Strategy documents as it supports governments' objectives of alleviating the triple challenges of unemployment, poverty, and inequality.

Section 217 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996, prescribes that goods and services must be contracted through a system that is fair, equitable, transparent, competitive and cost-effective and also confers a constitutional right on every potential supplier to offer goods and services to the public sector when needed.

The success of delivering the mandate of SA Tourism is dependent on co-ordination among different stakeholders. Engagement and collaboration with other government departments and the tourism industry is therefore imperative.

To support efficient and effective stakeholder engagement, SA Tourism's stakeholder engagement approach aims to achieve the following objectives:

- To keep all stakeholders informed about what SA Tourism is doing so as to build better cooperation within the sector
- To give SA Tourism a better understanding of the issues facing industry and the choices they are making, so as to find synergies on which to cooperate
- To find levers and platforms available to SA Tourism to positively, continuously and consistently impact the tourism sector and stakeholders in order to ensure delivery to the tourism mandate.
- To identify activities on how to engage with industry and tourism bodies of government.
- To create a model using stakeholder data as input into a corporate brand reputation score

SCOPE OF WORK

As part of the new 5-year strategic planning cycle, SA Tourism continues to require a Corporate Brand Index assessment for the 2026/27 and 2027/28 years. The first stakeholder satisfaction survey in 2017 assessed stakeholders' awareness of SA Tourism's goals and strategy. The survey also measured satisfaction with engagement initiatives at the time and identified the platforms preferred by stakeholders. The survey was conducted again in 2019, updated post-pandemic, and has been conducted annually since. The duration needs to be at least 2 years (2026/27 and 2027/28), with flexibility to alter, cancel, or extend the terms and duration.

The South African Tourism (SAT) invites reputable and experienced research service providers with a good track record to submit proposals demonstrating their ability to conduct a stakeholder engagement survey in the 2026/27 FY and 2027/28 FY, allowing for comparability with previous studies.

Project Objectives

The study aims to assess the satisfaction of stakeholders with initiatives by SA Tourism to engage with them in each Financial Year by answering the following questions:

- What is the level of familiarity among stakeholders with SA Tourism's initiatives?
 - How well has SA Tourism communicated and supported the industry during the past year?
- How satisfied are the stakeholders with SA Tourism's efforts towards engagement with them, and what factors can help in driving the overall satisfaction?
- How satisfied are the stakeholders with the key engagement characteristics, and which of these characteristics are more likely to drive the overall satisfaction?
- What are the most leveraged and the most effective channels of communication for engagement with stakeholders?
- What can SA Tourism do to improve engagement with the stakeholders?



REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM

The most recent iteration of the study in 2024 revisited the Reputation Management Model and SAT Reputation Index. This model identifies the key drivers of satisfaction and help identify what areas to focus on to improve satisfaction going forward and was presented in the form of an index developed from a model using the stakeholder data as input into a corporate brand reputation score.

The model is based on principal component analysis, weighted through PCA induced factor loading from the questions with a 5-point Likert scale. For this 2024/25 survey SAT needs to track the Reputation Index and identify the areas driving changed in the score and initiatives and interventions for the brand reputation and better engagement with stakeholders.

Project requirements

- Develop a robust questionnaire that ensures comparability with the previous survey. NB: The agreed questionnaire impacts the model and final results - this will be a collaborative process with SA Tourism as final approver. The questionnaire needs to factor in the Stakeholder Index as well as a Stakeholder Pulse (Shorter post-stakeholder engagement feedback survey)
- Draw a sample of respondents from SA Tourism databases and ensure a reliable sample size to allow for valid and meaningful analysis and key sub-sample analysis. The database size and quality vary annually depending on who has been in contact with SA Tourism in the cycle, but is estimated to be over 8 000 active contacts with either email or phone number, and in some cases both.
- Field electronic survey and collect responses in a database to be delivered to SA Tourism (the sample will be both SA and international stakeholders). However, due to POPIA and GDPR, there are limitations on the sharing of contacts
- Allow for a link, QR Code or other method to allow for always-on data collection and real-time analysis for a shorter post engagement feedback survey that complements the annual questionnaire. This needs to be a secure,

access-controlled results platform, including periodic results reports or a dashboard/data export with verified and cleaned complete responses i.e. This will ideally be online platform with results page, exportable tables or charts, and the ability to track the main survey results as well as the ad-hoc or intermittent post-stakeholder engagement tracking pulse

- Analyse results and deliver a detailed report of findings (SA, International, Media and Total, and additional sub-samples, if the sample allows). The annual presentation needs to consolidate the annual survey results and augment the post-engagement feedback survey component. The presentation must show the tracking of the results
- Ensure the reliability and stability of the model in the active years with the previous waves. The stakeholder surveys contribute to the SA Tourism Corporate reputation score, which is comparable to the previous models created.
- Collect a robust sample for a stable model with potential for sub-sample analysis.

REQUIRED:

1. Reference Letters - Bidder to submit a minimum of three (3) relevant reference letters from the past five (5) years of similar work done.
 - In a form of written proof(s) on their client's letterhead, including relevant contact person(s), office telephone & fax number, website, and email address where similar work was undertaken.
2. Outline of the qualifications and related experience of the proposed candidate who will be assigned to the matter.
3. Financial proposal to deliver the assignment, i.e., your firm's daily rate for facilitation services, including any other costs SA Tourism should be aware of for the successful completion of the assignment.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Performance Indicators	Value Allocated
Credentials and experience relevant to the scope of work. • 5+ years of company being in existence • Experience on working on similar projects (Industry, methodology and size; suppliers should clearly state work done similar to a project of this nature) The supplier is required to provide three (3) contactable client references where its services can be verified. References should be presented in a form of a written letter on an official letterhead from clients where similar primary research services have been provided and should not be older than four (4) years. No appointment letters from clients will be accepted as reference letters. SCORING: • 3 contactable client references within 4 years - 3 points • 2 contactable client references within 4 years - 2 points • 1 contactable client references within 4 years - 1 point • References that do not meet the criteria - 0 point	15
Proposed methodology, technique and approach to be applied to the project: • Comprehensive application of the research design and demonstrate understanding of the business goal/problem (Supplier to give a thorough explanation of how they will conduct the study, and should demonstrate that they have considered possible limitations to the study and advise how to mitigate possible limitations); • Innovative, technology applications/tools; supplier may propose efficient, technologically advanced tools that are either cost-effective and/or robust, and/or have a quicker turnaround time compared to traditional tools • Proposal to include output examples reflecting the study objectives with visual reporting and engaging story telling • As this is a tracking study, there needs to be an elaborate implementation plan on how to replicate and keep consistent the model and the approach for comparability across the years (i.e. previous waves of the study) • Data validation / Quality assessment: (Supplier should relate, quality measures that are applied by the entity to ensure that the data is of sound quality) • Best practice methodology applied on the latest tools, well-reasoned methodologies and processes of the project demonstrated clearly - 3 • Industry standard methodology applied on the tools, well-reasoned methodologies and processes of the project demonstrated - 2 • Industry standards applied on the tools, stating methodologies and processes of the project demonstrated - 1 • Methodology not presented or Industry standards not applied on the tools, methodologies and processes of the project - 0	35
The proposed project team to be assigned to the project, including each team member's role and years of experience in research and in previous work on statistical models and brand or corporate reputation calculations. Account manager/ Main point of contact should have: 3= 15+ years research experience (3 points) 2= 10 - 14 years research experience (2 points) 1= 2 - 9 years research experience (1 point) 0= Less 2 years (0 Point)	10
Data scientists/analysts should have an average: 3= 10+ years research experience (3 points)	

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM

2= 8 - 9 years research experience (2 points) 1= 2 - 7 years research experience (1 point) 0= Less 2 years (0 Point)	
Support team members should have an average of: 3= 10+ years research experience (3 points) 2= 6 - 9 years research experience (2 points) 1= 2 - 5 years research experience (1 point) 0= Less 2 years (0 Point)	10
Capacity and Capability This detailed infrastructure and capacity presents: Bidder must provide evidence of field force and fielding tools for multiple methodologies, ability to run multiple methodologies in parallel - Bidder should relate, quality measures (data validation assessment) that are applied by the entity to ensure that the data is of sound quality - Bidder needs to demonstrate compliance with data laws of each country - Bidders must demonstrate the usage of advanced technologies, tools or methods to execute the study - Bidders to give a thorough explanation of how they will conduct the study, and should demonstrate that they have considered possible limitations to the study and advise how to mitigate possible limitations - Bidder must demonstrate clear timelines and a minimum 4-week turnaround from fieldwork to final presentation with no reverts Scores will be allocated as follows: - If a bidder meets all the requirements as set out in the bullet points above a full score of 3 will be given. These must include the final bullet on timeline. - If a bidder meets three or four of the requirements above, it will receive a score of 2 points. These must include the final bullet on timeline. - If a bidder meets atleast one of the requirements above, it will receive a score of 1 points. - If the methodology is not aligned to any of the requirements, it will receive a score of 0 points. Bidders with timelines longer than 4 weeks for turning around the final presentation will be disadvantaged.	20
TOTAL WEIGHT	100



1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

2. Bidder's declaration

2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest¹ in the enterprise, employed by the state? **YES/NO**

2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

2.2 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES/NO**

2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....

2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract?
YES/NO

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....

¹ the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.

**3 DECLARATION**

I, the undersigned, (name)..... in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium² will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
- 3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.
- 3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

NB: FAILURE TO SUBMIT DULY COMPLETED FORMS AND SIGNED AUTHORISATION DECLARATION, WITH THE REQUIRED ANNEXURE(S), IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ABOVE PROVISIONS MAY INVALIDATE THE BID FOR SUCH GOODS OR SERVICES OFFERED.

SIGNATURE OF BIDDER:.....

CAPACITY UNDER WHICH THIS BID IS SIGNED:.....

(Proof of authority must be submitted e.g. company resolution)

DATE:.....

SBD 6.1

² Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.



SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM

PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
- the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).

1.2 **To be completed by the organ of state**

(delete whichever is not applicable for this tender).

- a) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **90/10** preference point system.
- b) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **80/20** preference point system.
- c) Either the **90/10 or 80/20 preference point system** will be applicable in this tender. The lowest/ highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the accurate system once tenders are received.

1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific Goals.

1.4 **To be completed by the organ of state:**

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	
SPECIFIC GOALS	
Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS	100

1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.

1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

2. DEFINITIONS

- (a) **“tender”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (b) **“price”** means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM

- unconditional discounts;
- (c) **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) **“tender for income-generating contracts”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) **“the Act”** means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

3. FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

3.1.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20	or	90/10
$P_s = 80 \left(1 - \frac{P_t - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$	or	$P_s = 90 \left(1 - \frac{P_t - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$

Where

- P_s = Points scored for price of tender under consideration
- P_t = Price of tender under consideration
- P_{min} = Price of lowest acceptable tender

3.2. FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

3.2.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20	or	90/10
$P_s = 80 \left(1 + \frac{P_t - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right)$	or	$P_s = 90 \left(1 + \frac{P_t - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right)$

Where

- P_s = Points scored for price of tender under consideration
- P_t = Price of tender under consideration
- P_{max} = Price of highest acceptable tender

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

- 4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the South African Tourism

4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—

- (a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or
- (b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,

then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.

(Note to organs of state: Where either the 90/10 or 80/20 preference point system is applicable, corresponding points must also be indicated as such.

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (90/10 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (90/10 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
Black Women Ownership	02	04		
Black Youth Ownership	02	02		
Black Ownership	04	10		
Small, Medium, and Micro Enterprises (SMMEs)	02	04		
Total	10	20		

DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM

4.3. Name of company/firm.....

4.4. Company registration number:

4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- ☐ Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- ☐ One-person business/sole propriety
- ☐ Close corporation
- ☐ Public Company
- ☐ Personal Liability Company
- ☐ (Pty) Limited
- ☐ Non-Profit Company



REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM

☐ State Owned Company
[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
 - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
 - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
 - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.

.....
SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)

SURNAME AND NAME:

DATE:

ADDRESS:

.....

.....

.....