



**PART A
REQUEST FOR QUOTATIONS (RFQ)**

YOU ARE HEREBY INVITED TO BID FOR REQUIREMENTS OF THE (SA TOURISM)					
RFQ NUMBER:	RFQ-SIA-19-26 (Re-Issue)	CLOSING DATE:	06 May 2026	CLOSING TIME:	16:00 (SA TIME)
DESCRIPTION	REQUEST FOR A PROPOSAL: POWERPOINT RE-DESIGN				
BID RESPONSE DOCUMENTS MAY BE PROVIDED BY THE BELOW METHODS					
All proposals must be uploaded using the following Quotes@southafrica.net					
NOTE: IT IS THE BIDDER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO ENSURE THE COMPLETED RFQ DOCUMENT WITH APPROPRIATE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS ARE DELIVERED TO THE CORRECT ADDRESS ON OR BEFORE THE CLOSING DATE AND TIME.					
BIDDING PROCEDURE ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO					
CONTACT PERSON	Boitumelo Dibetle				
TELEPHONE NUMBER	0118953000				
E-MAIL ADDRESS	Quotes@southafrica.net				
SUPPLIER INFORMATION					
NAME OF BIDDER					
POSTAL ADDRESS					
STREET ADDRESS					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		
CELLPHONE NUMBER					
E-MAIL ADDRESS					
VAT REGISTRATION NUMBER (If applicable)					
SUPPLIER COMPLIANCE STATUS	TAX COMPLIANCE SYSTEM PIN:		OR	CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE No:	MAAA
ARE YOU A FOREIGN BASED SUPPLIER FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?			☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES, PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS]		
QUESTIONNAIRE TO BIDDING FOREIGN SUPPLIERS					
IS THE ENTITY A RESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA (RSA)?				☐ YES	☐ NO
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A BRANCH IN THE RSA?				☐ YES	☐ NO
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT IN THE RSA?				☐ YES	☐ NO
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE ANY SOURCE OF INCOME IN THE RSA?				☐ YES	☐ NO



IS THE ENTITY LIABLE IN THE RSA FOR ANY FORM OF TAXATION?

SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM
☐ YES ☐ NO

IF THE ANSWER IS "NO" TO ALL OF THE ABOVE, THEN IT IS NOT A REQUIREMENT TO REGISTER FOR A TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS SYSTEM PIN CODE FROM THE SOUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICE (SARS) AND IF NOT REGISTER AS PER 2.3 BELOW.

1. BID SUBMISSION:

- 1.1. BIDS MUST BE DELIVERED BY THE STIPULATED DATE AND TIME TO THE CORRECT PLATFORM. NO HAND DELIVERY MAY BE ACCEPTED. ALL COMPLETED DOCUMENTS MUST BE SUBMITTED TO Quotes@southafrica.net OR  SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM. BIDDERS FAILURE TO COMPLETE THE REGISTER WILL INVALIDATE THE RFQ. LATE BIDS/RFQ WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED WHEN MAKING A DECISION TO AWARD.
- 1.2. ALL BIDS MUST BE SUBMITTED ON THE OFFICIAL FORMS PROVIDED – OR IN THE MANNER PRESCRIBED IN THE BID DOCUMENT. THE STANDARDS BIDDING DOCUMENTS (SBD) FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND RETURNED WITH THE RFQ / BID DOCUMENTS.
- 1.3. BIDDER'S ARE NOT ALLOWED TO ALTER THE CONTENT AND SEQUENCE OF INFORMATION IN THE SBD4 FORM.
- 1.4. THE UNDERSIGNED BIDDER DECLARES AND FURTHER AGREES TO HAVE READ 2010 VERSION OF THE GENERAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT (GCC) IS AVAILABLE ON THE NATIONAL TREASURY WEBSITE. TO ACCESS THE GCC THE BIDDER SHOULD CLICK THE FOLLOWING LINK <http://www.treasury.gov.za/divisions/ocpo/sc/GeneralConditions/> OR DOWNLOAD THE DOCUMENT FROM THE WEBSITE OF NATIONAL TREASURY.
- 1.5. THE **80 / 20** PREFERENTIAL POINT SYSTEM WILL BE APPLIED WHEREIN **80** POINTS IS FOR PRICE AND **20** POINTS IS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS. TENDERS WITH A RAND VALUE OF BETWEEN R 2 000 BUT NOT EXCEEDING R 50 MILLION (INCLUSIVE OF ALL APPLICABLE TAXES). FIRSTLY, THE SUBMISSION / RFQ WILL BE EVALUATED IN LINE WITH THE SET CRITERIA OR FUNCTIONALITY (*IF APPLICABLE*) AND THEREAFTER PROPOSAL WILL BE EVALUATED ON POINTS FOR PRICE AND SPECIFIC GOALS.
- 1.6. POINTS SCORED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS WILL BE ADDED TO THE POINTS SCORED FOR PRICE AND THE TOTAL WILL BE ROUNDED OFF TO THE NEAREST TWO (2) DECIMAL PLACES.
- 1.7. A TENDER OR RFQ MUST BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER WHO SCORE THE HIGHEST TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM (*PRICE AND SPECIFIC GOALS*) UNLESS OBJECTIVE CRITERIA IN TERMS OF SECTION 2 (1)(F) OF THE PPPFA JUSTIFY THE AWARD OF THE TENDER TO ANOTHER TENDERER
- 1.8. BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT RESPONSIVE BIDS BY COMPLETING ALL PRICING AND ITEM INFORMATION IN LINE WITH THE ENTIRE SCOPE OF WORK/GOODS/SERVICES. SHOULD THE SUPPLIER FAIL TO QUOTE ON THE ENTIRE SCOPE OF WORK AS PER THE RFQ THE SAT MAY NOT AWARD THE CONTRACT TO THE SUPPLIER.
- 1.9. THE SAT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO NEGOTIATE WITH THE BIDDERS PRIOR OR POST AWARD.
- 1.10. THE SAT MAY ALLOCATE ZERO/NIL POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS WHERE PROOF IS NOT SUBMITTED WITH THE RFQ.
- 1.11. THE BID MUST BE VALID FOR A PERIOD OF 45 CALENDAR DAYS FROM THE CLOSING DATE AND TIME

2. TAX COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

- 2.1 BIDDERS MUST ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR TAX OBLIGATIONS.
- 2.2 BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THEIR UNIQUE PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (PIN) ISSUED BY SARS TO ENABLE THE ORGAN OF STATE TO VERIFY THE TAXPAYER'S PROFILE AND TAX STATUS.
- 2.3 APPLICATION FOR TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS (TCS) PIN MAY BE MADE VIA E-FILING THROUGH THE SARS WEBSITE **WWW.SARS.GOV.ZA**
- 2.4 BIDDERS MAY ALSO SUBMIT A PRINTED TCS CERTIFICATE TOGETHER WITH THE BID.
- 2.5 IN BIDS WHERE CONSORTIA / JOINT VENTURES / SUB-CONTRACTORS ARE INVOLVED; EACH PARTY MUST SUBMIT A SEPARATE TCS CERTIFICATE / PIN / CSD NUMBER.
- 2.6 WHERE NO TCS IS AVAILABLE BUT THE BIDDER IS REGISTERED ON THE CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD), A CSD NUMBER MUST BE PROVIDED.

Table A:

Provide documentation and/or information [South African Tourism (SAT) reserves the right to review and verify submitted documentations on mandatory requirements/information]

Comply
Not Comply

NB:(Non-compliant on any of the below will result in disqualifications unless SAT indicates as not applicable)

1.1. Proof of National Treasury Central Supplier Database (CSD Summary report - SAT may verify the information as per the CSD) (unless supplier is a foreign supplier)

1.2. CSD Overall Tax Status must be compliant (Tax Compliant during evaluation and award stage) (unless it's a foreign supplier)

1.3. Conformance to the Scope of Work/ Terms of Reference (ToR)

3. LIST OF RETURNABLES

BIDDERS SHOULD PLEASE ADHERE TO THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS

- TICK APPLICABLE BOX
- ENSURE THAT THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLETED, SUBMITTED AND SIGNED WHERE APPLICABLE

ANNEXURES	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	YES	NO
PART A & B	IS BID INVITATION FORM , TERMS, AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING COMPLETED, SIGNED, AND SUBMITTED?		
SUPPLIER IS REQUIRED TO USE THE PRESCRIBED SEQUENCE IN ATTACHING THE ANNEXURES THAT COMPLETE THE BID OR RFQ DOCUMENT			
ANNEXURE A	IS THE STANDARD BID DOCUMENT (SBD4) FORM BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND SUBMITTED?		
ANNEXURE B	IS BIDDER'S SWORN AFFIDAVIT – EXEMPTED MICRO ENTERPRISE (EME) – OR QUALIFYING SMALL ENTERPRISE (QSE) – STILL VALID (FOR A PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS) FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY COMMISSIONER SUBMITTED TO CLAIM POINTS FOR SMME'S?		
ANNEXURE C	IS THE BIDDER'S QUOTED PRICE OR FINANCIAL OFFER SUBMITTED AND ALIGNED WITH THE SCOPE OF WORK? OR STATED IN THE BELOW TABLE OF DESCRIPTION OF SERVICE/GOODS?		
ANNEXURE D	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK WOMAN ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF (A) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, (B) COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT(S) OF THE BLACK WOMAN(E)		
ANNEXURE E	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK PERSON (S) IN THE FORM OF, (A) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE BLACK OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, AND (B) COPY OF IDENTITY DOCUMENTS.		
ANNEXURE F	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK YOUTH ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF (A)) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS		

	LISTED, (B) COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT(S) OF THE BLACK YOUTH.		
ANNEXURE G	IS THE LATEST REPORT FROM CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) SUBMITTED? THE REPORT WILL BE USED AMONGST OTHERS TO VERIFY TAX COMPLIANT AND BANKING DETAILS. TO FURTHER CONFIRM IF THE SHAREHOLDERS/DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY ARE BLACK WOMEN, BLACK YOUTH OR BLACK-OWNED. INFORMATION AND DETAILS ON BLACK WOMEN, BLACK YOUTH AND BLACK OWNERSHIP SHOULD BE SIMILAR TO THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED ON ANNEXURES C, D,E AND F ABOVE.		

4. APPLICATION OF PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM

4.1 DEFINITIONS

HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED INDIVIDUALS (HDI) IS DEFINED AS A SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZEN –

a) WHO, DUE TO THE APARTHEID POLICY THAT WAS IN PLACE, HAD NO VOTING RIGHTS IN THE NATIONAL ELECTIONS PRIOR TO THE INTRODUCTION OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1983 (ACT NO. 100 OF 1983) OR THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1993 (ACT NO. 200 OF 1993) (*THE INTERIM CONSTITUTION*) AND OR

b) WHO IS A WOMAN AND/OR

c) YOUTH

4.2 WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT ANY PERSON WHO RECEIVED SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZENSHIP ON OR BEFORE THE INTRODUCTION OF THE INTERIM CONSTITUTION, WILL NOT BE DEEMED TO BE HDI.

4.3 ANY REFERENCE TO WORDS “BID” OR “BIDDER” HEREIN AND/OR IN ANY OTHER DOCUMENTATION SHALL BE CONSTRUED TO HAVE THE SAME MEANING AS THE WORDS “TENDER” OR “TENDERER”.

4.4 “**A WOMAN**” REFERS TO A FEMALE PERSON WHO IS A SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZEN

4.5 “**HDI EQUITY OWNERSHIP**” REFERS TO THE PERCENTAGE OF A PARTNERSHIP OR BUSINESS THAT IS OWNED BY INDIVIDUALS, OR IN THE CASE OF A COMPANY, THE PERCENTAGE OF SHARES WHICH IS OWNED BY INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE MANAGEMENT DECISIONS AND DAY TO DAY OPERATIONAL ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR BUSINESS AND WHO EXERCISES CONTROL IN THE BUSINESS IN RELATION TO THEIR OWNERSHIP AT THE CLOSE OF TENDER. WHERE INDIVIDUALS ARE NOT ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE MANAGEMENT AND DAY TO DAY OPERATIONAL ACTIVITIES OF THE BUSINESS AND WHO DOES NOT EXERCISE CONTROL IN RELATION TO THE PERCENTAGE OF THEIR OWNERSHIP, EQUITY OWNERSHIP POINTS CANNOT BE AWARDED.

4.6 “**BLACK PEOPLE**” IS A GENERIC TERM WHICH MEANS AFRICANS, COLOURED AND INDIANS WHO ARE CITIZENS OF THE RSA BY BIRTH OR DESCENT OR BY NATURALISATION BEFORE 27 APRIL 1994 OR AFTER.

4.7 “**SMALL ENTERPRISE**” MEANS A SEPARATE AND DISTINCT BUSINESS ENTITY, TOGETHER WITH ITS BRANCHES OR SUBSIDIARIES, IF ANY, INCLUDING COOPERATIVE ENTERPRISES, MANAGED BY ONE OWNER OR MORE PREDOMINANTLY CARRIED ON IN ANY SECTOR OR SUBSECTOR OF THE ECONOMY.

4.8 “**YOUTH**” IS A GENERIC TERM WHICH MEANS PERSONS BETWEEN 14 TO 35 YEARS OF AGE. (THE MAXIMUM AGE OF PERSON/DIRECTOR/SHAREHOLDER ETC MUST BE BELOW OR 35 YEARS ON OR BEFORE THE CLOSING DATE AND TIME OF THE RFQ)

4.9 “**EXEMPTED MICRO ENTERPRISE (EME)**” IN TERMS OF THE GENERIC CODES OF GOOD PRACTICE, IT REFERS TO AN ENTERPRISE WITH AN ANNUAL TOTAL REVENUE OF R 10 MILLION OR LESS.

4.10 “**QUALIFYING SMALL ENTERPRISE (QSE)**” IN TERMS OF THE GENERIC CODES OF GOOD PRACTICE, IT REFERS TO AN ENTERPRISE WITH AN ANNUAL TOTAL REVENUE OF BETWEEN R 10 MILLION AND R 50 MILLION

4.11 “**SPECIFIC GOALS**” REFERS TO CONTRACTING WITH PERSONS, OR CATEGORIES OF PERSONS, HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED BY UNFAIR DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF RACE, GENDER OR DISABILITY AND IMPLEMENTING PROGRAMME AS PUBLISHED IN THE GOVERNMENT GAZETTE NO. 16085 DATED 23 NOVEMBER 1994.

4.1280 / 20 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM

TENDERERS WILL BE AWARDED POINTS AS FOLLOWS:

The points must be allocated and awarded as follows:

i. Total Tendered Price	:	80 points	
ii. Black Women Ownership	:	04 points	} Specific Goals (Maximum points)
iii. Black Ownership	:	10 points	
iv. Black Youth	:	02 points	
v. Small, Medium and Micro Enterprises (SMME's)	:	04 points	
Total	:	100 points	

4.13 THE POINTS SCORED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS WILL BE ADDED TO THE POINTS SCORED FOR PRICE AND THE TOTAL MUST BE ROUNDED OFF TO THE NEAREST 2 DECIMAL PLACES

4.14 TENDER PRICE

THE FOLLOWING FORMULA WILL BE USED TO CALCULATE THE POINTS OUT OF 80 FOR PRICE IN RESPECT OF TENDER WITH A RAND VALUE NOT EXCEEDING R 50 MILLION (INCLUSIVE OF ALL APPLICABLE TAXES). THE LOWEST ACCEPTABLE TENDER MUST SCORE 80 POINTS FOR PRICE, AND OTHER TENDERS WHICH ARE HIGH IN PRICE MUST SCORE FEWER POINTS, ON PRO RATA BASIS.

$$Ps = 80 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - Pmin}{Pmin} \right)$$

WHERE -

PS = POINTS SCORED (AWARDED) FOR PRICE OF TENDER UNDER CONSIDERATION

PT = PRICE OF TENDER UNDER CONSIDERATION; AND

PMIN = PRICE OF THE LOWEST ACCEPTABLE TENDER

4.15 SPECIFIC GOALS

4.15.1 % OWNED BY PEOPLE WHO ARE BLACK WOMEN (WO)

A MAXIMUM OF FOUR (04) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS A BLACK WOMAN. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACK WOMEN WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBER/S WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY MANAGEMENT OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK WOMEN -----%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED: $4 \times \frac{\% WO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT (S) OF THE BLACK WOMAN(E)
- LATEST CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) REPORT OF WHICH OWNERSHIP OF THE BLACK WOMAN IS LISTED



4.15.2 % OWNED BY BLACK PEOPLE (BO)

A MAXIMUM OF TEN (10) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS A BLACK AND DID NOT HAVE VOTING RIGHTS ACCORDING TO THE DEFINITION OF AN HDI. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACKS WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBERS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK PERSON(S) WHO DID NOT HAVE VOTING RIGHTS.....%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED: $10 \times \boxed{} \times \frac{\% BO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- COPY OF ID DOCUMENT.
- COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION ON THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- LATEST CSD REPORT WITH BLACKS AS SHAREHOLDERS/DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY

4.15.3 SMALL, MEDIUM AND MICRO ENTERPRISES (SMME'S)

A MAXIMUM OF FOUR (4) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS CLASSIFIED AS SMME

IS THE COMPANY CLASSIFIED AS EME OR QSE?

YES = 4 POINTS $\boxed{} =$
 NO = 0 POINT

PROOF OF DOCUMENTATION MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- SWORN AFFIDAVID THAT IS VALID FOR A PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY THE COMMISSIONER.

4.15.4 % OWNED BY BLACK YOUTH

A MAXIMUM OF TWO (2) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS BLACK YOUTH. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACK YOUTH WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBERS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK YOUTH.....%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED : $2 \times \frac{\% DO}{100} = \boxed{}$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- A COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- A COPY OF ID DOCUMENT;
- LATEST CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) REPORT OF WHICH OWNERSHIP OF THE BLACK YOUTH IS LISTED.

TABLE B: OWNERSHIP

NAME AND SURNAME /ENTITY NAME	GENDER (MALE OR FEMALE)	AGE i.e., 32	CITIZENSHIP (RSA, OR SPECIFY OTHER)	ETHNIC GROUP (BLACK, WHITE, ETC.)	NUMBER OF SHARES PER SHAREHOLDER	PERCENTAGE OF OWNERSHIP (%) PER SHAREHOLDER
Total						

(To be completed by bidder)

TABLE- C: SPECIFIC GOALS

OWNERSHIP	TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF OWNERSHIP	SPECIFIC GOALS POINTS CLAIMED
Black Woman ownership		
Black Ownership		
Black Youth Ownership		
Total		

4.16THE SAT CAN ONLY AWARD POINTS PROVIDED SUFFICIENT INFORMATION AND REQUIRED DOCUMENTS ARE CORRECTLY COMPLETED AND RETURNED WITH THE PROPOSALS IN LINE WITH LIST OF RETURNABLE DOCUMENTS ON PARAGRAPH THREE (3) ABOVE. POINTS OBTAINED FOR PRICE SHOULD BE ADDED TO POINTS OBTAINED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS.

4.17TENDER MUST BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER SCORING THE HIGHEST POINTS. HOWEVER, A CONTRACT MAY BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER THAT DID NOT SCORE THE HIGHEST POINTS ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 2 (1)(F) OF THE PPPFA 05 OF 2000.



5. CRITERIA FOR BREAKING DEADLOCK IN SCORING

- a) IF TWO OR MORE OF THE TENDERERS HAVE SCORED EQUAL TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS, THE CONTRACT WILL BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER THAT SCORED THE HIGHEST POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS;
- b) IF TWO OR MORE TENDERES SCORE EQUAL TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS IN ALL RESPECTS, THE AWARD WILL BE DECIDED BY THE DRAWING OF LOTS

6. DELIVERIES

- a. ALL DELIVERIES MAY BE ACCOMPANIED BY A DELIVERY NOTE OR AN INVOICE OF AN OFFICIAL PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER AGAINST WHICH THE DELIVERY HAS BEEN AFFECTED
- b. DELIVERIES NOT COMPLYING WITH THE PURCHASE ORDER FORM MAY BE RETURNED TO THE SUPPLIER(S) AT THE SUPPLIER'S EXPENSE. THE SAT WILL NOT BE LIABLE FOR PAYMENT OF INCORRECTLY DELIVERED GOODS OR SERVICE
- c. BIDDERS SHOULD INDICATE THE PLANNED DELIVERY PERIOD (IN DAYS) FROM THE DATE AN ORDER IS ISSUED

7. POPIA DISCLAIMER

7.1 COMPLIANCE WITH PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013

PERSONAL INFORMATION SHARED WITH THE SAT SHALL BE TREATED WITH CONFIDENTIALITY AND IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROTECTION OF PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013 (POPIA) AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS DISCLAIMER, "PERSONAL INFORMATION" SHALL BE DEFINED AS DETAILED IN THE PROMOTION OF ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT, ACT 2 OF 2000 (PAIA) AND POPIA, AND "PROCESSING" AND "FURTHER PROCESSING" SHALL BE READ, INTERPRETED AND UNDERSTOOD AS DETAILED AND DEFINED IN POPIA.

7.2 CONSENT TO PROCESSING AND FURTHER PROCESSING OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

THE SAT MAY PROCESS AND FURTHER PROCESS RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION, INTERNALLY OR EXTERNALLY, IN THE EXECUTION OF ITS MANDATE AND/OR AS REQUIRED BY LAW. THE SAT MAY SHARE PERSONAL INFORMATION WITH ITS SERVICE PROVIDERS, AGENTS, CONTRACTORS, LEGAL AND OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISORS AUTHORISED TO PROCESS THIS INFORMATION. THE SAT MAY THUS PLACE RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN DUE TO THE NATURE AND REQUIREMENTS OF ITS WORK.

7.3 FURTHER PROCESSING OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

YOU FURTHER GRANT THE SAT EXPRESS AND/OR IMPLIED PERMISSION TO FURTHER PROCESS RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION AND PLACE IT IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN, IN THE EXECUTION OF ITS MANDATE AND STATUTORY OBLIGATIONS.

7.4 DUTY OF CARE

THE SAT VALUES YOUR PRIVACY AND SHALL TAKE ALL REASONABLE MEASURES TO PROTECT RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION.

7.5 EXEMPTION FROM LIABILITY

THE SAT (INCLUDING ITS OFFICIALS AND/OR EMPLOYEES) ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER, FOR ANY LOSS, DAMAGE (WHETHER DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL, OR CONSEQUENTIAL), AND/OR EXPENSES OF ANY NATURE WHATSOEVER WHICH MAY ARISE AS A RESULT OF, OR WHICH MAY BE ATTRIBUTABLE DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, FROM INFORMATION MADE AVAILABLE HEREIN, OR ACTIONS OR TRANSACTIONS RESULTING THEREFROM

TABLE D: SCOPE OF WORK

1. BUSINESS PROBLEM

The AI (Analytics and Insights) unit at SA Tourism collects, verifies, and simplifies tourism data for easy understanding by non-research users. The unit over the fiscal year can produce many PowerPoint presentations that need to be converted into infographic-style formats.

This RFQ seeks a supplier to transform the PowerPoint content into clear, visually engaging infographics.

In live presentations, speakers add context by explaining connections, themes, and insights beyond just the text on the slides. However, since not all stakeholders can attend these presentations, we need a solution that effectively conveys the same insights through visuals.

The supplier must use infographics, charts, icons, and animations to create a visually appealing and easy-to-understand format that captures the key messages and insights from the presentations.

2. WHAT IS NEEDED?

The AI unit is seeking experienced and reputable graphic design companies that specialize in creating infographics. The selected supplier must be capable of transforming complex, data-heavy content into high-quality, engaging summaries that highlight key insights, provide clear recommendations, and effectively communicate learnings.

Bidders must demonstrate expertise in presenting data clearly and concisely, using the right tone for different audiences. The final deliverables should be visually compelling and easy to understand while maintaining the integrity of the insights.

The report will be used by a wide range of stakeholders, including internal leadership, oversight bodies, industry players, tourism intermediaries, and hospitality service providers—both in South Africa and international target markets. Understanding how to distill data into a compelling narrative is critical. For instance, in some cases, multiple slides may be condensed into a single visual representation to tell a clearer story.

As part of the evaluation process, bidders must submit an example of how they would present data from the provided PowerPoint case study (Case Study PowerPoint A). The quality of this submission will be a key factor in the selection process.

The supplier must be able to effectively summarize and visually present the complexities of tourism, consumer preferences, market priorities, and actionable insights for marketers and tourism providers looking to engage their target audiences.

3. SCOPE OF WORK:

The project involves converting 100 PowerPoint slides into visually engaging infographic-style formats. The infographics should be:

- Editable & Updateable – allowing for future modifications.
- Using the South Africa flag colors or a similar.
- Custom-Designed – each presentation is unique, meaning a one-size-fits-all template will not work. The infographics must be tailored to highlight the key points, trends, and insights specific to each report.

The supplier must ensure that every infographic effectively conveys complex tourism data in a way that is clear, engaging, and easy to understand for diverse stakeholders.

4. Cost structure and project plan:

Bidders must submit a total bid price for the project, considering the skills, resources, and time needed to complete the work. Additionally, bidders should propose innovative cost-saving methodologies while maintaining high-quality design standards.

SA Tourism reserves the right to request additional information or clarification regarding cost proposals before final evaluation.

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

Evaluation Criteria

SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM

- Creative & Efficient Redesign – The supplier must demonstrate the ability to transform data into visually compelling, clear, and insightful infographics.
- Proposed Format – The format suggested for the infographics will be a key factor in evaluation.
- Multi-Purpose Output – The final infographics must be designed for both online display (user-friendly, interactive if possible) and face-to-face presentations to stakeholders.

Bidders should showcase their ability to present complex tourism data in a way that is both visually appealing and highly functional across different presentation formats.

The contract will be over a one year period and will be structured so that payment will be made when 50% of the work is completed and 100% of the work is completed.

Table E: Points awarded for functionality.

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Rating			Weight
	1	2	3	
The Bids will be evaluated on a scale of 0 – 3 in accordance with the criteria below. The rating will be as follows: 0 = None responsive 1 = unsatisfactory, 2 = acceptable, 3 = excellent,				
Company track record: Bidders must provide three (3) contactable client references that clearly demonstrate their experience and reliability in delivering high-quality infographic and data visualization services. Each reference letter must specifically highlight data visualization, confirming the bidder's proficiency and expertise in this area. • References should be in the form of a written letter from previous clients. • The letters must be on official company letterhead. • The references should be from clients for whom the bidder has provided similar services. • The reference letters must not be older than four (4) years. 1 reference = 1 2 references = 2 3 or more references = 3 Bidders are to ensure that the letters provided have dates, otherwise points will not be awarded if there are no dates on the letters.				10
Bidders must submit a portfolio of past work to demonstrate their expertise and experience in creating infographics and data visualization. • The portfolio should include examples of previous work that showcase the bidder's ability to transform complex data into visually compelling infographics. • It must demonstrate capacity and creativity in designing high-quality, engaging, and informative visual content. • The submitted case studies or portfolio samples should be linked to the reference letters provided, ensuring that the work can be verified by past clients. This portfolio will be a key factor in evaluating whether the bidder has the necessary skills and experience to successfully execute this project. Weak portfolio = 0 • Highly visually appealing and well-designed, with infographics that utilize engaging visuals, effective colour schemes, and typography to enhance readability and user engagement = 3 • Moderately visually appealing and well-designed, with infographics that generally utilize appropriate visuals and design elements but may lack consistency or sophistication in execution = 2 • Lacks visual appeal or poorly designed, with infographics that use unappealing visuals.				10

inappropriate colour choices, or cluttered layouts that detract from the overall presentation = 1 Bidders are to provide infographics produced for clients and indicate when the work was produced.		SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM
The final infographic deliverables must be: • Editable & Updateable – Allowing SA Tourism to make modifications as needed without requiring the original designer. • Flexible in Format – The infographics should be delivered in a powerpoint format that supports easy updates Not editable = 0 Partially Editable = 0 Fully Editable = 3	30	
The redesign of the attached PowerPoint presentation (Case Study: PowerPoint A) must be reviewed and awarded a single overall score. The score should reflect a holistic evaluation of how effectively the redesign meets the intent of the criteria outlined below, considering the combined impact and overall quality of the output rather than scoring each criterion in isolation and the Case Study should cover all the criteria outlined below. Failure of a bidder to cover all the criteria will result a scoring of 0 (zero). The following criteria should be covered: • Storytelling ability - the ability to discriminate on what content to include or leave out based on what is absolutely essential to convey as key points and land the overall message, • The ability to use space efficiently, • Creative use of infographics, • Relevance to the content • An output that can cater to a large amount of data, • Output that can be displayed online in a simple manner, • Ability to understand data and display it in a way that makes sense to the user The bidder will be scored according to the matric below • Score of 3 - Bidder has fully covered all aspects of the criteria, demonstrating an excellent standard. The submission shows strong storytelling ability, efficient use of space, creative and relevant infographics, and clear, user friendly presentation of large datasets in an online format. Data is interpreted and displayed in a way that makes sense to the user. • Score of 2 - Bidder has covered all aspects of the criteria, demonstrating an acceptable standard. The submission meets requirements but with less refinement in storytelling, space usage, creativity, or clarity. While the output is relevant and functional, it lacks the depth expected at the highest level. • Score of 1 - Bidder has covered all aspects of the criteria, but only at an average standard. Storytelling, space usage, and use of infographics are basic, with limited ability to prioritise essential content or present data effectively. • Score of 0 - Bidder has failed to cover all aspects of the criteria.	50	
TOTAL POINTS FOR FUNCTIONALITY	100	
A threshold of 75% is applicable.		

BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE (SBD 4)**1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM**

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

2. Bidder's declaration

- 2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest¹ in the enterprise, employed by the state?

YES/NO

- 2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

- 2.2 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution?

YES/NO

- 2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....

- 2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract?

¹ the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.

YES/NO

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....

.....

3 DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, (name)..... in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium² will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
- 3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.
- 3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

NB: FAILURE TO SUBMIT DULY COMPLETED FORMS AND SIGNED AUTHORISATION DECLARATION, WITH THE REQUIRED ANNEXURE(S), IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ABOVE PROVISIONS MAY INVALIDATE THE BID FOR SUCH GOODS OR SERVICES OFFERED.

SIGNATURE OF BIDDER:.....

CAPACITY UNDER WHICH THIS BID IS SIGNED:.....

(Proof of authority must be submitted e.g. company resolution)

² Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

DATE:.....

SBD 6.1

PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
- the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).

1.2 To be completed by the organ of state

(delete whichever is not applicable for this tender).

- a) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **90/10** preference point system.
- b) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **80/20** preference point system.
- c) Either the **90/10 or 80/20 preference point system** will be applicable in this tender. The lowest/ highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the accurate system once tenders are received.

1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific Goals.

1.4 To be completed by the organ of state:

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	
SPECIFIC GOALS	
Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS	100

1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

- 1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is submitted or subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

2. DEFINITIONS

- (a) **“tender”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (b) **“price”** means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) **“tender for income-generating contracts”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) **“the Act”** means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

3. FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

3.1.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20	or	90/10
$Ps = 80 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$	or	$Ps = 90 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$

Where

- Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration
- Pt = Price of tender under consideration
- Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

3.2. FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

3.2.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20	or	90/10
$Ps = 80 \left(1 + \frac{Pt - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right)$	or	$Ps = 90 \left(1 + \frac{Pt - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right)$

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

Pmax = Price of highest acceptable tender

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:

4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—

(a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or

(b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,

then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.

(Note to organs of state: Where either the 90/10 or 80/20 preference point system is applicable, corresponding points must also be indicated as such.)

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (90/10 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (90/10 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
Black Women Ownership	02	04		
Black Youth Ownership	02	02		
Black Ownership	04	10		
Small, Medium, and Micro Enterprises (SMMEs)	02	04		
Total	10	20		

**DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM**

4.3. Name of company/firm.....

4.4. Company registration number:

4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- ☐ Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- ☐ One-person business/sole propriety
- ☐ Close corporation
- ☐ Public Company
- ☐ Personal Liability Company
- ☐ (Pty) Limited
- ☐ Non-Profit Company
- ☐ State Owned Company

[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
 - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
 - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
 - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.

.....
SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)

SURNAME AND NAME:

DATE:

ADDRESS: