



SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM

PART C: - SCOPE OF WORK - SAT Tender 289/25- DESIGN CUSTOMISATION AND CONSTRUCTION OF WORLD-CLASS INTERNATIONAL EXHIBITIONS STANDS FOR SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM (IMEX, AMERICA)

Summary of the Evaluation Phases (table below):

Table 1: Evaluation Summary

Phase 1 Administrative and Mandatory bid requirements	Phase 2 Functional Technical Evaluation (Desktop and Presentation)	Phase 3 Price
Bidders' responses will be evaluated based on Table 2 below.	Technical functional evaluation (functionality) is divided into two (2) phases (Desktop technical functional evaluation and Presentation (Innovative & Expertise) technical functional evaluation with total points of 70% or 70 points out of 100 points. Phase 2. A: Desktop technical functional evaluation = 60 points: - A bidder must meet a minimum threshold of 40 points of desktop technical functional evaluation to be considered for the next phase of evaluation, Phase 2B (Presentation). - Failure to meet the minimum points threshold will result in disqualification in this phase. Phase 2. B Presentation (Expertise and Innovation) = 40: - A bidder must meet the minimum threshold of 30 points of Phase 2 B functional evaluation to be considered for the next phase of evaluation, Phase 3 (Price). NB: Failure to meet the minimum threshold of 70% or 70 points will result in disqualification in this phase and a bidder will be disqualified for not meeting both of the phases (A or B) minimum threshold irrespective of	The tender will be evaluated on pricing evaluation The highest-scoring bidder will be appointed on price.

	meeting the combined minimum threshold of 70% or points	
--	---	--

Phase 1: Administrative and mandatory bid requirements

All documents must be completed and signed by the duly authorised representative of the prospective bidder(s). During this phase, bidders' responses will be evaluated based on compliance with the listed administrative and mandatory bid requirements.

Table 2: Phase 1 Evaluation

The documents that must be submitted	YES/NO	Non-submission may result in disqualification.
Confirmation of valid Tax Status		Tax-compliant status as per the CSD report
Annexure A- Bid Invitation form (SBD 1)		Complete and sign the Standard Bidding Document (SBD 1)
Annexure E- Preference points claim form in terms of the preferential procurement regulations 2022 (SBD 6.1)		Complete and sign the Standard Bidding Document (SBD 6.1)
Annexure B-Registration on Central Supplier Database (CSD). Only applicable to SA bidders		All agencies, including proposed partner/subcontractor agencies must be registered as service providers on the National Treasury's Central Supplier Database (CSD). If you are not registered, proceed to complete the registration of your company before submitting your proposal. Visit https://secure.csd.gov.za/ to obtain your vendor number. Submit proof of registration.
Annexure D-Declaration of Interest - SBD 4)		Complete and sign the Standard Bidding Document (SBD)

Phase 2: Desktop Functional Technical Evaluation = Weighting out of 100 basis points

All bidders are required to respond to the technical evaluation criteria scorecard and provide information/portfolio of evidence that they unconditionally hold the available capacity, ability, experience, and qualified staff to provide the requisite business requirements to South African Tourism under this tender.

Bidders will be required to achieve a minimum threshold of **70% or 70 points** to proceed to Phase 3 for the Price level of contribution evaluations.

The technical functional evaluation (functionality) will comprise two (2) phases:

Phase 2A: will measure the responsiveness of the proposal in terms of the capability and capacity in delivering the services.

Phase 2 B: will comprise of the presentation and live demo (Expertise and Innovation).

Phase 2 A: Desktop technical functional evaluation- A bidder will be evaluated out of 60 points and is required to score a minimum threshold of 40 points out of 60 points to qualify for presentation and live demo in Phase 2 B.

Phase 2 B: Presentation and live demo - Bidders will be evaluated out of 40 points and are required to score a minimum threshold of 30 points out of 40 points to be evaluated further on the next phase of evaluation (Price).

Table 3: Phase 2 A Desktop Functional Evaluation

Phase 2 A- Desktop Technical Evaluation Criteria	Weight Allocated	Reference pages in the bidder's proposal.
Deliverables / Performance Indicators		
Evaluation criteria		
Company Experience: Relevant experience (international stand designs and build) to the scope of work and the number of similar projects conducted by your company previously. Years in constructing globally benchmarked exhibition stands for similar work. Experience relevant to the scope of work and the number of projects of a similar nature that were conducted by your company previously. Years in conducting similar work supported by reference letters indicating the contract period and the confirmation of the time frame in which the work was conducted for. • 1 - 4 years = 1 • 5 - 9 years = 2 • 10 or more years = 3 Non-Scoring Factors: Less than a year Submission of irrelevant reference letters Reference letters that are older than four (4) years Provide three (3) contactable client references in the form of a written letter, not older than four (4) years. The reference letters must be signed and on the client's letterhead. No appointment letters from clients will be accepted as reference letters. References must be included for the bidders, partners, and sub-contractors. If the company has appointed a subcontractor, the subcontractor must also submit the reference letters.	25	

NB: International refers to work done outside of the African continent within the sphere of international stand build and design.		
Experience of Service Team: Stand lead Constructor Please provide us with a detailed CV regarding the expertise and experience of the proposed resource, including a minimum of 5 years of experience within the international stand build and design as well as any applicable noteworthy credentials. Evaluation Matrix: Less than five (5) years = 0 Five (5) years = 1 points Six (6) to ten (10) years = 2 points More than 10 years = 3 points	10	
Experience of Service Team: Project/Operational Manager Please provide us with a detailed CV regarding the expertise and experience of the proposed resource, including a minimum of 5 years of experience within the international stand build and design as well as any applicable noteworthy credentials. Evaluation Matrix: Less than five (5) years = 0 Five (5) years = 1 points Six (6) to ten (10) years = 2 points More than 10 years = 3 points	10	
Experience of Service Team: Technical Support Please provide us with a detailed CV regarding the expertise and experience of the proposed resource, including a minimum of 5 years of experience within the international stand build and design as well as any applicable noteworthy credentials. Evaluation Matrix: Less than five (5) years = 0 Five (5) years = 1 points Six (6) to ten (10) years = 2 points More than 10 years = 3 points	5	
Quality and Environmentally Friendly Material Demonstrate to which extent the stand has incorporated environmentally sustainable principles in the material selection for both the stand structure and branding substrates. The demonstration should include the following: 1. Sustainability: recyclability, reusability, and sourcing from environmentally responsible suppliers. 2. Durability: Resistance to wear and tear, durability, and lifespan	5	

3. Safety: Fire resistance, toxicity, and potential health hazards Evaluation Matrix: 3 = Will be allocated to the bidder who addressed all the above requirements in detail as defined per line item. 2 = Will be allocated to the bidder who addressed only two of the above requirements in detail as defined per line item. 1 = Will be allocated to the bidder who addressed only one of the above requirements in detail as defined per line item. NB: If the bidder does not address all line-item requirements, the provided information will be considered incomplete and not considered for evaluation.		
Platforms Execution Methodology: Provide proof of application of the CI for a business event through a customised exhibition stand design. - Supply a detailed annual project and logistical plan with timelines - Graphic examples of similar work performed. Evaluation Matrix; 3 = Exceeds the requirement. Demonstration by the supplier of the relevant ability to customise the CI for a business event, including a detailed annual project and logistical plan with timelines, and graphic examples of similar work performed. 2 = Satisfies the requirement with minor additional benefits, and incorrect application of the CI for a business platform by the supplier, including a project plan or logistical plan with timelines or graphic examples of similar work performed. 1 = Submission meets the minimum requirement with major reservations. If you provide a stand mock-up with a correct CI application or Project plan or logistical plan with timelines or graphic examples of similar work performed 0 = Does not meet the requirement. Does not comply and/or insufficient information provided. The bidder did not demonstrate the ability to apply the correct required CI for a business event. The bidder did not provide a detailed project and logistical plan with timelines. The bidder did not provide graphic examples of similar work performed.	5	
PHASE 2 A TOTAL	60	
PHASE 2 B Evaluation criteria inclusive of presentation and Live Demo	40	

Demo presentation of a Business Events: - Ability to customise and modify the mock-up design provided to suit global standards - The supplier must conceptualise a distinct iconic thematic feature for the stand, which is uniquely representative of South Africa and can instantly be associated with the destination. - Back-to-front stand outlook incorporating render depicting the CI. Evaluation Matrix: 3 = If the bidder addressed all three (3) requirements above 2 = If the bidder addressed all two (2) requirements above 1= If the bidder addressed all One (1) requirements above	40	
TOTAL FOR PHASE 2 (A+B)	100	

If required, they will be at a high level where the criteria will be identified that the bid evaluation team might be interested in looking more closely at. The bid evaluation committee will have the opportunity to ask questions and make notes of what they consider to be gaps in the proposals compared with how well the business requirements are satisfied.

- Bids proposals will be evaluated strictly according to the bid evaluation criteria stipulated in this section.
- Bidders must, as part of their bid documents, submit supportive documentation for all functional requirements as indicated in the terms of reference. The panel responsible for scoring the respective bids will evaluate and score all bids based on information presented in the bid proposals in line with the RFP.

Phase 3: Price Evaluation = 100 points

Only Bidders who meet the minimum 70% threshold of functionality in Phase 2 will be evaluated in Phase 3 for price evaluation. Failure to meet the minimum thresholds of both evaluation phases will result in disqualification regardless of combined scored points.

The bidder who advances to Phase three (3) will be evaluated based on Price comparison and SA Tourism will appoint the bidder who scores the highest point.

1. LIST OF RETURNABLES

BIDDERS SHOULD PLEASE ADHERE TO THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS

- TICK APPLICABLE BOX
- ENSURE THAT THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLETED, SUBMITTED AND SIGNED WHERE APPLICABLE

ANNEXURES	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	YES	NO
PART A & B	IS BID INVITATION FORM, TERMS, AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING COMPLETED, SIGNED, AND SUBMITTED?		
SUPPLIER IS REQUIRED TO USE THE PRESCRIBED SEQUENCE IN ATTACHING THE ANNEXURES THAT COMPLETE			

THE BID OR RFQ DOCUMENT			
ANNEXURE A	IS THE STANDARD BID DOCUMENT (SBD4) FORM BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND SUBMITTED?		
ANNEXURE B	IS BIDDER'S SWORN AFFIDAVIT - EXEMPTED MICRO ENTERPRISE (EME) - OR QUALIFYING SMALL ENTERPRISE (QSE) - STILL VALID (FOR A PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS) FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY COMMISSIONER SUBMITTED TO CLAIM POINTS FOR SMME'S?		
ANNEXURE C	IS THE BIDDER'S QUOTED PRICE OR FINANCIAL OFFER SUBMITTED AND ALIGNED WITH THE SCOPE OF WORK? OR STATED IN THE BELOW TABLE OF DESCRIPTION OF SERVICE/GOODS?		
ANNEXURE D	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK WOMAN ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF (A) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, (B) COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT(S) OF THE BLACK WOMAN(E)		
ANNEXURE E	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK PERSON (S) IN THE FORM OF, (A) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE BLACK OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, AND (B) COPY OF IDENTITY DOCUMENTS.		
ANNEXURE F	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK YOUTH ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF (A)) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, (B) COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT(S) OF THE BLACK YOUTH.		
ANNEXURE G	IS THE LATEST REPORT FROM CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) SUBMITTED? THE REPORT WILL BE USED AMONGST OTHERS TO VERIFY TAX COMPLIANT AND BANKING DETAILS. TO FURTHER CONFIRM IF THE SHAREHOLDERS/DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY ARE BLACK WOMEN, BLACK YOUTH OR BLACK-OWNED. INFORMATION AND DETAILS ON BLACK WOMEN, BLACK YOUTH AND BLACK OWNERSHIP SHOULD BE SIMILAR TO THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED ON ANNEXURES C, D,E AND F ABOVE.		
2. APPLICATION OF PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM 4.1 DEFINITIONS HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED INDIVIDUALS (HDI) IS DEFINED AS A SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZEN - a) WHO, DUE TO THE APARTHEID POLICY THAT WAS IN PLACE, HAD NO VOTING RIGHTS IN THE NATIONAL ELECTIONS PRIOR TO THE INTRODUCTION OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1983 (ACT NO. 100 OF 1983) OR THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1993 (ACT NO. 200 OF 1993) (<i>"THE INTERIM CONSTITUTION"</i>) AND OR b) WHO IS A WOMAN AND/OR c) YOUTH 4.2 WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT ANY PERSON WHO RECEIVED SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZENSHIP ON OR BEFORE THE INTRODUCTION OF THE INTERIM CONSTITUTION, WILL NOT BE DEEMED TO BE HDI. 4.3 ANY REFERENCE TO WORDS "BID" OR "BIDDER" HEREIN AND/OR IN ANY OTHER DOCUMENTATION SHALL BE CONSTRUED TO HAVE THE SAME MEANING AS THE WORDS "TENDER" OR "TENDERER". 4.4 "A WOMAN" REFERS TO A FEMALE PERSON WHO IS A SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZEN 4.5 "HDI EQUITY OWNERSHIP" REFERS TO THE PERCENTAGE OF A PARTNERSHIP OR BUSINESS THAT IS OWNED BY INDIVIDUALS, OR IN THE CASE OF A COMPANY, THE PERCENTAGE OF SHARES WHICH IS OWNED BY INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE MANAGEMENT DECISIONS AND DAY TO DAY OPERATIONAL ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR BUSINESS AND WHO EXERCISES CONTROL IN THE BUSINESS IN RELATION TO THEIR OWNERSHIP AT THE			

CLOSE OF TENDER. WHERE INDIVIDUALS ARE NOT ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE MANAGEMENT AND DAY TO DAY OPERATIONAL ACTIVITIES OF THE BUSINESS AND WHO DOES NOT EXERCISE CONTROL IN RELATION TO THE PERCENTAGE OF THEIR OWNERSHIP, EQUITY OWNERSHIP POINTS CANNOT BE AWARDED.

4.6 “**BLACK PEOPLE**” IS A GENERIC TERM WHICH MEANS AFRICANS, COLOURED AND INDIANS WHO ARE CITIZENS OF THE RSA BY BIRTH OR DESCENT OR BY NATURALISATION BEFORE 27 APRIL 1994 OR AFTER.

4.7 “**SMALL ENTERPRISE**” MEANS A SEPARATE AND DISTINCT BUSINESS ENTITY, TOGETHER WITH ITS BRANCHES OR SUBSIDIARIES, IF ANY, INCLUDING COOPERATIVE ENTERPRISES, MANAGED BY ONE OWNER OR MORE PREDOMINANTLY CARRIED ON IN ANY SECTOR OR SUBSECTOR OF THE ECONOMY.

4.8 “**YOUTH**” IS A GENERIC TERM WHICH MEANS PERSONS BETWEEN 14 TO 35 YEARS OF AGE. (THE MAXIMUM AGE OF PERSON/DIRECTOR/SHAREHOLDER ETC MUST BE BELOW OR 35 YEARS ON OR BEFORE THE CLOSING DATE AND TIME OF THE RFQ)

4.9 “**EXEMPTED MICRO ENTERPRISE (EME)**” IN TERMS OF THE GENERIC CODES OF GOOD PRACTICE, IT REFERS TO AN ENTERPRISE WITH AN ANNUAL TOTAL REVENUE OF R 10 MILLION OR LESS.

4.10 “**QUALIFYING SMALL ENTERPRISE (QSE)**” IN TERMS OF THE GENERIC CODES OF GOOD PRACTICE, IT REFERS TO AN ENTERPRISE WITH AN ANNUAL TOTAL REVENUE OF BETWEEN R 10 MILLION AND R 50 MILLION

4.11 “**SPECIFIC GOALS**” REFERS TO CONTRACTING WITH PERSONS, OR CATEGORIES OF PERSONS, HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED BY UNFAIR DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF RACE, GENDER OR DISABILITY AND IMPLEMENTING PROGRAMME AS PUBLISHED IN THE GOVERNMENT GAZETTE NO. 16085 DATED 23 NOVEMBER 1994.

4.1280 / 20 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM

TENDERERS WILL BE AWARDED POINTS AS FOLLOWS:

The points must be allocated and awarded as follows:

i.	Total Tendered Price	: 80 points	} Specific Goals (Maximum points)
ii.	Black Women Ownership	: 04 points	
iii.	Black Ownership	: 10 points	
iv.	Black Youth	: 02 points	
v.	Small, Medium and Micro Enterprises	: 04 points	

(SMME's)

Total : 100 points

4.13 THE POINTS SCORED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS WILL BE ADDED TO THE POINTS SCORED FOR PRICE AND THE TOTAL MUST BE ROUNDED OFF TO THE NEAREST 2 DECIMAL PLACES

4.14 TENDER PRICE

THE FOLLOWING FORMULA WILL BE USED TO CALCULATE THE POINTS OUT OF 80 FOR PRICE IN RESPECT OF TENDER WITH A RAND VALUE NOT EXCEEDING R 50 MILLION (INCLUSIVE OF ALL APPLICABLE TAXES). THE LOWEST ACCEPTABLE TENDER MUST SCORE 80 POINTS FOR PRICE, AND OTHER TENDERS WHICH ARE HIGH IN PRICE MUST SCORE FEWER POINTS, ON PRO RATA BASIS.

$$P_s = 80 \left(1 - \frac{P_t - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$$

WHERE -

PS = POINTS SCORED (AWARDED) FOR PRICE OF TENDER UNDER CONSIDERATION

PT = PRICE OF TENDER UNDER CONSIDERATION; AND

PMIN = PRICE OF THE LOWEST ACCEPTABLE TENDER

4.15 SPECIFIC GOALS

4.15.1 % OWNED BY PEOPLE WHO ARE BLACK WOMEN (WO)

A MAXIMUM OF FOUR (04) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS A BLACK WOMAN. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACK WOMEN WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBER/S WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY MANAGEMENT OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK WOMEN ----- %

THUS, POINTS AWARDED: $4 \times \frac{\% WO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- b) COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT (S) OF THE BLACK WOMAN(E)
- c) LATEST CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) REPORT OF WHICH OWNERSHIP OF THE BLACK WOMAN IS LISTED

4.15.2 % OWNED BY BLACK PEOPLE (BO)

A MAXIMUM OF TEN (10) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS A BLACK AND DID NOT HAVE VOTING RIGHTS ACCORDING TO THE DEFINITION OF AN HDI. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACKS WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBERS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK PERSON(S) WHO DID NOT HAVE VOTING RIGHTS... %

THUS, POINTS AWARDED: $10 \times \frac{\% BO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) COPY OF ID DOCUMENT.
- b) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION ON THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- c) LATEST CSD REPORT WITH BLACKS AS SHAREHOLDERS/DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY

4.15.3 SMALL, MEDIUM AND MICRO ENTERPRISES (SMME'S)

A MAXIMUM OF FOUR (4) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS CLASSIFIED AS SMME

IS THE COMPANY CLASSIFIED AS EME OR QSE?

YES = 4 POINTS

=

NO = 0 POINT

PROOF OF DOCUMENTATION MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) SWORN AFFIDAVIT THAT IS VALID FOR A PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY THE COMMISSIONER.

4.15.4 % OWNED BY BLACK YOUTH

A MAXIMUM OF TWO (2) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS Black Youth. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACK YOUTH WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBERS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK YOUTH. %

THUS, POINTS AWARDED : $2 \times \frac{\% DO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) A COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- b) A COPY OF ID DOCUMENT;
- c) LATEST CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) REPORT OF WHICH OWNERSHIP OF THE BLACK YOUTH IS LISTED.

4.15.5

Table B: Ownership

NAME AND SURNAME /ENTITY NAME	GENDER (MALE OR FEMALE)	AGE i.e., 32	CITIZENSHIP (RSA, OR SPECIFY OTHER)	ETHNIC GROUP (BLACK, WHITE, ETC.)	NUMBER OF SHARES PER SHAREHOLDER	PERCENTAGE OF OWNERSHIP (%) PER SHAREHOLDER
-------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------	-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------	---

Total						

(To be completed by bidder)

TABLE- C: SPECIFIC GOALS

Ownership	Total percentage of ownership	specific goals points claimed
Black Woman ownership		
Black Ownership		
Black Youth Ownership		
Total		

4.16 THE SAT CAN ONLY AWARD POINTS PROVIDED SUFFICIENT INFORMATION AND REQUIRED DOCUMENTS ARE CORRECTLY COMPLETED AND RETURNED WITH THE PROPOSALS IN LINE WITH LIST OF RETURNABLE DOCUMENTS ON PARAGRAPH THREE (3) ABOVE. POINTS OBTAINED FOR PRICE SHOULD BE ADDED TO POINTS OBTAINED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS.

4.17 TENDER MUST BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER SCORING THE HIGHEST POINTS. HOWEVER, A CONTRACT MAY BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER THAT DID NOT SCORE THE HIGHEST POINTS ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 2 (1)(F) OF THE PPPFA 05 OF 2000.

3. CRITERIA FOR BREAKING DEADLOCK IN SCORING

- a) IF TWO OR MORE OF THE TENDERERS HAVE SCORED EQUAL TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS, THE CONTRACT WILL BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER THAT SCORED THE HIGHEST POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS;
- b) IF TWO OR MORE TENDERS SCORE EQUAL TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS IN ALL RESPECTS, THE AWARD WILL BE DECIDED BY THE DRAWING OF LOTS

4. DELIVERIES

- a. ALL DELIVERIES MAY BE ACCOMPANIED BY A DELIVERY NOTE OR AN INVOICE OF AN OFFICIAL PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER AGAINST WHICH THE DELIVERY HAS BEEN AFFECTED
- b. DELIVERIES NOT COMPLYING WITH THE PURCHASE ORDER FORM MAY BE RETURNED TO THE SUPPLIER(S) AT THE SUPPLIER'S EXPENSE. SAT WILL NOT BE LIABLE FOR PAYMENT OF INCORRECTLY DELIVERED GOODS OR SERVICE

- | | |
|---|--|
| c. BIDDERS SHOULD INDICATE THE PLANNED DELIVERY PERIOD (IN DAYS) FROM THE DATE AN ORDER IS ISSUED | |
|---|--|

5. POPIA DISCLAIMER**5.1. COMPLIANCE WITH THE PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013**

PERSONAL INFORMATION SHARED WITH THE SAT SHALL BE TREATED WITH CONFIDENTIALITY AND IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROTECTION OF PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013 (POPIA) AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS DISCLAIMER, "PERSONAL INFORMATION" SHALL BE DEFINED AS DETAILED IN THE PROMOTION OF ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT, ACT 2 OF 2000 (PAIA) AND POPIA, AND "PROCESSING" AND "FURTHER PROCESSING" SHALL BE READ, INTERPRETED AND UNDERSTOOD AS DETAILED AND DEFINED IN POPIA.

5.2. CONSENT TO PROCESSING AND FURTHER PROCESSING OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

THE SATMAY PROCESS AND FURTHER PROCESS RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION, INTERNALLY OR EXTERNALLY, IN THE EXECUTION OF ITS MANDATE AND/OR AS REQUIRED BY LAW. THE SATMAY SHARE PERSONAL INFORMATION WITH ITS SERVICE PROVIDERS, AGENTS, CONTRACTORS, LEGAL AND OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISORS AUTHORISED TO PROCESS THIS INFORMATION. THE SATMAY THUS PLACE RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN DUE TO THE NATURE AND REQUIREMENTS OF ITS WORK.

5.3. FURTHER PROCESSING OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

YOU FURTHER GRANT THE SATEXPRESS AND/OR IMPLIED PERMISSION TO FURTHER PROCESS RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION AND PLACE IT IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN, IN THE EXECUTION OF ITS MANDATE AND STATUTORY OBLIGATIONS.

5.4. DUTY OF CARE

THE SAT VALUES YOUR PRIVACY AND SHALL TAKE ALL REASONABLE MEASURES TO PROTECT RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION.

5.5. EXEMPTION FROM LIABILITY

THE SAT (INCLUDING ITS OFFICIALS AND/OR EMPLOYEES) ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER, FOR ANY LOSS, DAMAGE (WHETHER DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL, OR CONSEQUENTIAL), AND/OR EXPENSES OF ANY NATURE WHATSOEVER WHICH MAY ARISE AS A RESULT OF, OR WHICH MAY BE ATTRIBUTABLE DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, FROM INFORMATION MADE AVAILABLE HEREIN, OR ACTIONS OR TRANSACTIONS RESULTING THEREFROM

END