



SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM

PART C: TENDER EVALUATION PROCESS- SAT TENDER 232/23 ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENT FOR SOUTH AFRICAN TOURISM

Summary of the Evaluation Phases (table below):

Table 1: Evaluation Summary

Phase 1 Administrative and Mandatory bid requirements	Phase 2 Desktop Functional Technical and Presentation Evaluation	Phase 3 Price and Preference points (Specific goals) Evaluation
Service providers' responses will be evaluated based on compliance with the listed administrative and mandatory bid requirements.	Service provider (s) are required to achieve a minimum threshold of 75%¹ to proceed to Phase 3. The Tender/Evaluation Matrix Cross Reference: Service providers should reference the criteria to the portfolio of evidence in the bid proposal. – it is of vital importance that systematic scoring can be carried out. 1. Phase 2. A: Desktop technical, functional evaluation= 50 points: - A bidder must meet a minimum threshold of 35 points of desktop technical and functional evaluation in order to be considered for the next phase of evaluation, Phase 2B (Presentation). - Failure to meet the minimum points threshold will result in disqualification in this phase. 2. Phase 2B. Presentation = 50: - A bidder must meet the minimum threshold of 40 points of Phase 2B functional evaluation in order to be considered for the next phase of evaluation, Phase 3 (Price and preference points-specific Goals).	The tender will be evaluated on the 80/20 preference points system (specific goals) based on the tender below R50 million. The highest-scoring bidder will be appointed on price and preference points (specific goals).

¹ Points and percentage will be used interchangeably throughout this tender.

	Failure to meet the minimum thresholds of both phases of evaluation will result in disqualification regardless of combined scored points.	
--	---	--

Phase 1: Administrative and Mandatory Bid Requirements

Without limiting the generality of South African Tourism's other critical requirements for this Bid, service provider (s) must submit all the documents required.

All documents must be completed and signed by the duly authorised representative of the prospective service provider (s). During this phase, service providers' responses will be evaluated based on compliance with the listed administrative and mandatory bid requirements.

Table 2: Phase 1 Evaluation

The document that must be submitted	YES/NO	Non-submission may result in disqualification.
Confirmation of valid Tax Status		Written confirmation that SARS may, on an ongoing basis during the tenure of the contract, disclose the bidder's tax compliance status. SARS Tax Compliance System Pin
Invitation SBD 1		Complete, sign, and initial each page on the Standard Bidding Document (SBD)
Registration on Central Supplier Database (CSD)		All agencies, including proposed partner/subcontractor agencies, must be registered as a service provider on the National Treasury's Central Supplier Database (CSD). If you are not registered, proceed to complete the registration of your company before submitting your proposal. Visit https://secure.csd.gov.za/ to obtain your vendor number. Submit proof of registration. <i>(Applicable to South African Companies only)</i>
Declaration of Interest - SBD 4		Complete, sign, and initial each page on SBD where applicable.

Phase 2: Desktop Technical Evaluation = Weighting out of 100 basis points

All service providers are required to respond to the technical evaluation criteria scorecard and provide information/portfolio of evidence that they unconditionally hold the available capacity, ability, experience, and qualified staff to provide the requisite business requirements to South African Tourism under this tender.

The technical, functional evaluation (functionality) will comprise of two (2) phases:

Phase 2A. will measure the responsiveness of proposals as per submission on or before the closing date and time, and **Phase 2B.** will comprise of presentation functional evaluation.

Phase 2A. Desktop technical, functional evaluation- A bidder will be evaluated out of **50 points** and is required to score a minimum threshold of **35 points out of 50 points** to qualify for presentation in Phase 2B.

Phase 2B. Presentation, A bidder will be evaluated out of **60 points** and is required to score a minimum threshold of **40 points out of 50 points** to be evaluated further in the next phase of evaluation (Price and Preference Points-Specific Goals). NB: Failure to meet the minimum thresholds of both phases of evaluation will result in disqualification regardless of combined scored points.

Table 3: Phase 2 Evaluation

Deliverables / Performance Indicators	Weight
Criterion 1: Company/Bidder Experience - Credentials and Experience relevant to the scope of work under this RFP; the service provider should provide details that are demonstrable and relating to the Organizational Developments. - The service provider is required to provide a minimum of three (3) contactable client reference letters within five (5) years where its services can be verified. References should be presented in the form of a written letter on official letterhead from clients with similar services stating clearly what work was done for them. 3 contactable reference letters that are within 5 years relevant to the scope = 1 point 4 to 5 contactable reference letters that are within 5 years relevant to the scope = 2 points 6 and above contactable reference letters that are within 5 years relevant to the scope = 3 points Non-Scoring: (0 Point) - Less than 3 references regardless of the number of years, i.e., within 5 years 3x reference letters that are more than five years old. - Reference letters that are not relevant to the scope.	10
Criterion 2: The project leader should provide a detailed CV/profile that demonstrates relevance to the scope of work, the scoring will be as follows: 15 years and above =3 points 11 - 14 years =2 points 5 to 10 years =1 point Non-scoring: (0 Point) - Less than 5 years - No CV/Profile in line with the above requirements and related to the scope of work	10
Criterion 3: Registered Industrial Psychologists should be registered with the HPCSA (Provide registration number) as a minimum requirement before years of experience is considered: 10 years =3 points 7 - 9 years =2 points 5 - 6 years =1 point Non-scoring: (0 Point) - Less Five (5) years - CV/Profile not in line with the above requirements and not related to the scope of work - Not registered with HPCSA	15
Criterion 4: Advisory Consultant resource should possess a valid SABPP and/or PROSCI accreditation an average as a minimum requirement before years of experience is considered: 9 years and above = 3 points 6 - 8 years 2 points 5 years =1 point Non-scoring: (0 Point) - Less than 5 - No CV/profiles in line with the above requirements and not related to the scope of work	15

Not providing a valid SABPP and/or PROSCI (<i>fails to provide one of the valid membership</i>).	
Phase 2A Total	50
PHASE 2B PRESENTATION	
Criterion 5: Case Studies (Live Presentation) Provide three Case studies of previous work done relevant to the scope of work, with the complete Return on Investment (ROI), such as returns after implementation. - Case studies should not be older than Five (5) years from the closing date of this RFP. - Non-scoring if the bidder fails to provide a minimum of 3 case studies that are relevant to the scope of work and supporting ROIs etc. NB: A functional evaluation matrix will be applied for scoring.	10
Criterion 6: Methodology (Presentation) - The bidder must demonstrate experience in organisational effectiveness assessments utilising applicable and effective approaches or methodologies in conducting organisational Development - Up to date with Organisation development practices. - A detailed project implementation plan with timelines from inception to completion of the project; (with deliverables and dependencies) NB: A functional evaluation matrix will be applied for scoring	40
TOTAL FOR PHASE 2 (A+B)	100

- Bid proposals will be evaluated strictly according to the bid evaluation criteria stipulated in this section.
- Service provider (s) must submit supportive documentation for all functional requirements as part of their bid documents as indicated in the Terms of Reference. The panel responsible for scoring the respective bids will evaluate and score all bids based on information presented in the bid proposals in line with the RFP.
- The score for functionality will be calculated in terms of the table below, where each Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) member will rate each individual criterion on the bid evaluation score sheet using the following value scale/matrix:

Table 4: Functional Evaluation Matrix

Bidders must meet the minimum threshold of **(Phase 2A, 35% plus Phase 2B, 40%) 75%** to proceed to Phase 3: Price and Preference (specific goals) evaluation. *Failure to meet the minimum thresholds of both phases of evaluation will result in disqualification regardless of combined scored points.*

- Bid proposals will be evaluated strictly according to the bid evaluation criteria stipulated in this section.
- Bidders must submit supportive documentation for all functional requirements as part of their bid documents as indicated in the Terms of Reference.
- The panel responsible for scoring the respective bids will evaluate and score all bids based on information presented in the bid proposals in line with the RFP.
- The score for functionality will be calculated in terms of the table below, where each Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) member will rate each criterion on the bid evaluation score sheet using the following value scale/matrix:

Technical Functional Evaluation Matrix

Rating	Definition	Score
Excellent	Exceeds the requirement. Exceptional demonstration by the supplier of the relevant ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources, and quality measures required to provide the goods/services. Response identifies factors that will offer potential value, with supporting evidence.	3
Acceptable	Satisfies the requirement with minor additional benefits , above average demonstration by the supplier of the relevant ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources, and quality measures required to provide the goods/services. Response identifies factors that will offer potential required services, with supporting evidence.	2
Average	Submission meets the minimum requirement with major reservations . Considerable reservations of the supplier's relevant ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources, and quality measures required to provide the goods/services, with little or no supporting evidence.	1
Unacceptable	Does not meet the requirement. Does not comply and/or insufficient information provided to demonstrate that the supplier has the ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources & quality measures required to provide the goods/services, with little or no supporting evidence.	0

Phase 3: Price and Preference (specific goals) Evaluation (80/20) = 100 points

Only Bidders who meet the minimum 75% threshold of functionality in Phase 2 will be evaluated in Phase 3 for price and preference (Specific goals). Failure to meet the minimum thresholds of both phases of evaluation will result in disqualification regardless of combined scored points.

The total points for price evaluation (out of 80) and the total points for specific goals evaluation (out of 20) will be consolidated. The bidder who scores the highest points for comparative pricing and specific goals after the consolidation of points will normally be considered the preferred bidder with whom South African Tourism will enter into further negotiations for the respective marketing discipline that was tendered.

Table 5: Price and Preference (specific goals)

1. LIST OF RETURNABLES			
BIDDERS SHOULD PLEASE ADHERE TO THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS			
a) TICK APPLICABLE BOX			
b) ENSURE THAT THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLETED, SUBMITTED, AND SIGNED WHERE APPLICABLE			
ANNEXURES	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	YES	NO
PART A & B	IS BID INVITATION FORM, TERMS, AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING COMPLETED, SIGNED, AND SUBMITTED?		
SUPPLIER IS REQUIRED TO USE THE PRESCRIBED SEQUENCE IN ATTACHING THE ANNEXURES THAT COMPLETE THE BID OR RFQ DOCUMENT			
ANNEXURE A	IS THE STANDARD BID DOCUMENT (SBD4) FORM BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE COMPLETED, SIGNED, AND SUBMITTED?		
ANNEXURE B	IS BIDDER'S SWORN AFFIDAVIT - EXEMPTED MICRO ENTERPRISE (EME) - OR QUALIFYING SMALL ENTERPRISE (QSE) - STILL VALID (FOR A PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS) FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY COMMISSIONER SUBMITTED TO CLAIM POINTS FOR SMME'S?		
ANNEXURE C	IS THE BIDDER'S QUOTED PRICE OR FINANCIAL OFFER SUBMITTED AND ALIGNED WITH THE SCOPE OF WORK? OR STATED IN THE BELOW TABLE OF DESCRIPTION OF SERVICE/GOODS?		

ANNEXURE D	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK WOMAN ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF (A) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, (B) COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT(S) OF THE BLACK WOMAN(E)		
ANNEXURE E	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK PERSON (S) IN THE FORM OF, (A) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE BLACK OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, AND (B) COPY OF IDENTITY DOCUMENTS.		
ANNEXURE F	IS PROOF OF OWNERSHIP BY BLACK YOUTH ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF (A)) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED, (B) COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT(S) OF THE BLACK YOUTH.		
ANNEXURE G	IS THE LATEST REPORT FROM THE CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) SUBMITTED? THE REPORT WILL BE USED AMONGST OTHERS TO VERIFY TAX-COMPLIANT AND BANKING DETAILS. TO FURTHER CONFIRM IF THE SHAREHOLDERS/DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY ARE BLACK WOMEN, BLACK YOUTH OR BLACK-OWNED. INFORMATION AND DETAILS ON BLACK WOMEN, BLACK YOUTH, AND BLACK OWNERSHIP SHOULD BE SIMILAR TO THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN ANNEXURES B, C, D, E, AND F ABOVE.		

2. APPLICATION OF PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM

2.1 DEFINITIONS

HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED INDIVIDUALS (HDI) IS DEFINED AS SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZEN -

- WHO, DUE TO THE APARTHEID POLICY THAT WAS IN PLACE, HAD NO VOTING RIGHTS IN THE NATIONAL ELECTIONS PRIOR TO THE INTRODUCTION OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1983 (ACT NO. 100 OF 1983) OR THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 1993 (ACT NO. 200 OF 1993) (*"THE INTERIM CONSTITUTION"*) AND OR
- WHO IS A WOMAN AND/OR
- YOUTH

2.2 WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT ANY PERSON WHO RECEIVED SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZENSHIP ON OR BEFORE THE INTRODUCTION OF THE INTERIM CONSTITUTION, WILL NOT BE DEEMED TO BE HDI.

2.3 ANY REFERENCE TO WORDS "BID" OR "BIDDER" HEREIN AND/OR IN ANY OTHER DOCUMENTATION SHALL BE CONSTRUED TO HAVE THE SAME MEANING AS THE WORDS "TENDER" OR "TENDERER".

2.4 "A WOMAN" REFERS TO A FEMALE PERSON WHO IS A SOUTH AFRICAN CITIZEN

2.5 "HDI EQUITY OWNERSHIP" REFERS TO THE PERCENTAGE OF A PARTNERSHIP OR BUSINESS THAT IS OWNED BY INDIVIDUALS, OR IN THE CASE OF A COMPANY, THE PERCENTAGE OF SHARES WHICH IS OWNED BY INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE MANAGEMENT DECISIONS AND DAY TO DAY OPERATIONAL ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR BUSINESS AND WHO EXERCISES CONTROL IN THE BUSINESS IN RELATION TO THEIR OWNERSHIP AT THE CLOSE OF TENDER. WHERE INDIVIDUALS ARE NOT ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE MANAGEMENT AND DAY TO DAY OPERATIONAL ACTIVITIES OF THE BUSINESS AND WHO DOES NOT EXERCISE CONTROL IN RELATION TO THE PERCENTAGE OF THEIR OWNERSHIP, EQUITY OWNERSHIP POINTS CANNOT BE AWARDED.

2.6 "BLACK PEOPLE" IS A GENERIC TERM WHICH MEANS AFRICANS, COLOUREDS AND INDIANS WHO ARE CITIZENS OF THE RSA BY BIRTH OR DESCENT OR BY NATURALISATION BEFORE 27 APRIL 1994 OR AFTER.

2.7 "SMALL ENTERPRISE" MEANS A SEPARATE AND DISTINCT BUSINESS ENTITY, TOGETHER WITH ITS BRANCHES OR SUBSIDIARIES, IF ANY, INCLUDING COOPERATIVE ENTERPRISES, MANAGED BY ONE OWNER OR MORE PREDOMINANTLY CARRIED ON IN ANY SECTOR OR SUBSECTOR OF THE ECONOMY.

2.8 "YOUTH" IS A GENERIC TERM WHICH MEANS PERSONS BETWEEN 14 TO 35 YEARS OF AGE. (THE MAXIMUM AGE OF PERSON/DIRECTOR/SHAREHOLDER ETC MUST BE BELOW OR 35 YEARS ON OR BEFORE THE CLOSING DATE AND TIME OF THE RFQ)

2.9 "EXEMPTED MICRO ENTERPRISE (EME)" IN TERMS OF THE GENERIC CODES OF GOOD PRACTICE, IT REFERS TO AN ENTERPRISE WITH AN ANNUAL TOTAL REVENUE OF R 10 MILLION OR LESS.

2.10 "QUALIFYING SMALL ENTERPRISE (QSE)" IN TERMS OF THE GENERIC CODES OF GOOD PRACTICE, IT REFERS TO AN ENTERPRISE WITH AN ANNUAL TOTAL REVENUE OF BETWEEN R 10 MILLION AND R 50 MILLION

2.11 "SPECIFIC GOALS" REFERS TO CONTRACTING WITH PERSONS OR CATEGORIES OF PERSONS, HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED BY UNFAIR DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF RACE, GENDER, OR DISABILITY AND IMPLEMENTING PROGRAMME AS PUBLISHED IN THE GOVERNMENT GAZETTE NO. 16085 DATED 23 NOVEMBER 1994.

2.1280 / 20 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEM

TENDERERS WILL BE AWARDED POINTS AS FOLLOWS:

The points must be allocated and awarded as follows:

i.	Total Tendered Price	:	80 points	
ii.	Black Women Ownership	:	04 points	
iii.	Black Ownership	:	10 points	
iv.	Black Youth	:	02 points	
v.	Small, Medium, and Micro Enterprises (SMME's)	:	04 points	
Total		:	100 points	Specific Goals (Maximum points)

2.13. THE POINTS SCORED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS WILL BE ADDED TO THE POINTS SCORED FOR PRICE AND THE TOTAL MUST BE ROUNDED OFF TO THE NEAREST 2 DECIMAL PLACES

2.14. TENDER PRICE

THE FOLLOWING FORMULA WILL BE USED TO CALCULATE THE POINTS OUT OF 80 FOR PRICE IN RESPECT OF TENDER WITH A RAND VALUE NOT EXCEEDING R 50 MILLION (INCLUSIVE OF ALL APPLICABLE TAXES). THE LOWEST ACCEPTABLE TENDER MUST SCORE 80 POINTS FOR PRICE, AND OTHER TENDERS WHICH ARE HIGH IN PRICE MUST SCORE FEWER POINTS, ON PRO RATA BASIS.

$$P_s = 80 \left(1 - \frac{P_t - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$$

WHERE -

PS = POINTS SCORED (AWARDED) FOR PRICE OF TENDER UNDER CONSIDERATION
 PT = PRICE OF TENDER UNDER CONSIDERATION; AND
 PMIN = PRICE OF THE LOWEST ACCEPTABLE TENDER

2.15 SPECIFIC GOALS

2.15.1 % OWNED BY PEOPLE WHO ARE BLACK WOMEN (WO)

A MAXIMUM OF FOUR (04) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS A BLACK WOMAN. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACK WOMEN WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBER/S WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY-TO-DAY MANAGEMENT OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK WOMEN -----%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED: $4 \times \frac{\% WO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN

THE FORM OF:

- COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- COPY OF THE ID-DOCUMENT (S) OF THE BLACK WOMAN(E)
- LATEST CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) REPORT OF WHICH OWNERSHIP OF THE BLACK WOMAN IS LISTED

2.15.2 % OWNED BY BLACK PEOPLE (BO)

A MAXIMUM OF TEN (10) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS A BLACK AND DID NOT HAVE VOTING RIGHTS ACCORDING TO THE DEFINITION OF AN HDI. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACKS WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBERS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY TO DAY ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK PERSON(S) WHO DID NOT HAVE VOTING RIGHTS.....%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED: $10 \times \frac{\% BO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) COPY OF ID DOCUMENT.
- b) COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION ON THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- c) LATEST CSD REPORT WITH BLACKS AS SHAREHOLDERS/DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY

2.15.3 SMALL, MEDIUM, AND MICRO ENTERPRISES (SMMEs)

A MAXIMUM OF FOUR (4) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS CLASSIFIED AS SMME

IS THE COMPANY CLASSIFIED AS EME OR QSE?

YES = 4 POINTS

=

NO = 0 POINT

PROOF OF DOCUMENTATION MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) SWORN AFFIDAVIT THAT IS VALID FOR A PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY THE COMMISSIONER.

2.15.4 % OWNED BY BLACK YOUTH

A MAXIMUM OF TWO (2) POINTS WILL BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER WHO IS Black Youth. EQUITY OWNERSHIP FOR BLACK YOUTH WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE % OF THE ENTERPRISE OWNED BY SUCH A PERSON OR BY THE % OF SHARES OWNED BY MEMBERS WHO ARE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE DAY-TO-DAY ACTIVITIES OF THE COMPANY OR ENTERPRISE.

% OF ENTERPRISE OWNED BY BLACK YOUTH.....%

THUS, POINTS AWARDED : $2 \times \frac{\% DO}{100} =$

PROOF OF OWNERSHIP MUST BE ATTACHED IN THE FORM OF:

- a) A COPY OF THE FOUNDING DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPANY WITH WHICH THE OWNERSHIP IS LISTED I.E. CIPC ETC;
- b) A COPY OF ID DOCUMENT;
- c) LATEST CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD) REPORT OF WHICH OWNERSHIP OF THE BLACK YOUTH IS LISTED.

Table B: Ownership

NAME AND SURNAME /ENTITY NAME	GENDER (MALE OR FEMALE)	AGE i.e., 32	CITIZENSHIP (RSA, OR SPECIFY OTHER)	ETHNIC GROUP (BLACK, WHITE, ETC.)	NUMBER OF SHARES PER SHAREHOLDER	PERCENTAGE OF OWNERSHIP (%) PER SHAREHOLDER

Total						

(To be completed by bidder)

TABLE- C: SPECIFIC GOALS

Ownership	Total percentage of ownership	specific goals points claimed
Black Woman ownership		
Black Ownership		
Black Youth Ownership		
Total		

2.16 THE SAT CAN ONLY AWARD POINTS PROVIDED SUFFICIENT INFORMATION AND REQUIRED DOCUMENTS ARE CORRECTLY COMPLETED AND RETURNED WITH THE PROPOSALS IN LINE WITH THE LIST OF RETURNABLE DOCUMENTS IN PARAGRAPH THREE (3) ABOVE. POINTS OBTAINED FOR PRICE SHOULD BE ADDED TO POINTS OBTAINED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS.

2.17 TENDER MUST BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER SCORING THE HIGHEST POINTS. HOWEVER, A CONTRACT MAY BE AWARDED TO A TENDERER THAT DID NOT SCORE THE HIGHEST POINTS ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 2 (1)(F) OF THE PPPFA 05 OF 2000.

3. CRITERIA FOR BREAKING DEADLOCK IN SCORING

- a) IF TWO OR MORE OF THE TENDERERS HAVE SCORED EQUAL TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS, THE CONTRACT WILL BE AWARDED TO THE TENDERER THAT SCORED THE HIGHEST POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS;
- b) IF TWO OR MORE TENDERS SCORE EQUAL TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS IN ALL RESPECTS, THE AWARD WILL BE DECIDED BY THE DRAWING OF LOTS

4. DELIVERIES

- a. ALL DELIVERIES MAY BE ACCOMPANIED BY A DELIVERY NOTE OR AN INVOICE OF AN OFFICIAL PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER AGAINST WHICH THE DELIVERY HAS BEEN AFFECTED
- b. DELIVERIES NOT COMPLYING WITH THE PURCHASE ORDER FORM MAY BE RETURNED TO THE SUPPLIER(S) AT THE SUPPLIER'S EXPENSE. SAT WILL NOT BE LIABLE FOR PAYMENT OF INCORRECTLY DELIVERED GOODS OR SERVICE
- c. BIDDERS SHOULD INDICATE THE PLANNED DELIVERY PERIOD (IN DAYS) FROM THE DATE AN ORDER IS ISSUED

5. POPIA DISCLAIMER

5.1. COMPLIANCE WITH THE PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013

PERSONAL INFORMATION SHARED WITH THE SAT SHALL BE TREATED WITH CONFIDENTIALITY AND IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROTECTION OF PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013 (POPIA) AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS DISCLAIMER, "PERSONAL INFORMATION" SHALL BE DEFINED AS DETAILED IN THE PROMOTION OF ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT, ACT 2 OF 2000 (PAIA) AND POPIA, AND "PROCESSING" AND "FURTHER PROCESSING" SHALL BE READ, INTERPRETED AND UNDERSTOOD AS DETAILED AND DEFINED IN POPIA.

5.2. CONSENT TO PROCESSING AND FURTHER PROCESSING OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

THE SATMAY PROCESS AND FURTHER PROCESS RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION, INTERNALLY OR EXTERNALLY, IN THE EXECUTION OF ITS MANDATE AND/OR AS REQUIRED BY LAW. THE SATMAY SHARE PERSONAL INFORMATION WITH ITS SERVICE PROVIDERS, AGENTS, CONTRACTORS, LEGAL AND OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISORS AUTHORISED TO PROCESS THIS INFORMATION. THE SATMAY THUS PLACE RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN DUE TO THE NATURE AND REQUIREMENTS OF ITS WORK.

5.3. FURTHER PROCESSING OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

YOU FURTHER GRANT THE SATEXPRESS AND/OR IMPLIED PERMISSION TO FURTHER PROCESS RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION AND PLACE IT IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN, IN THE EXECUTION OF ITS MANDATE AND STATUTORY OBLIGATIONS.

5.4. DUTY OF CARE

THE SAT VALUES YOUR PRIVACY AND SHALL TAKE ALL REASONABLE MEASURES TO PROTECT RECEIVED PERSONAL INFORMATION.

5.5. EXEMPTION FROM LIABILITY

THE SAT(INCLUDING ITS OFFICIALS AND/OR EMPLOYEES) ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER, FOR ANY LOSS, DAMAGE (WHETHER DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL, OR CONSEQUENTIAL), AND/OR EXPENSES OF ANY NATURE WHATSOEVER WHICH MAY ARISE AS A RESULT OF, OR WHICH MAY BE ATTRIBUTABLE DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, FROM INFORMATION MADE AVAILABLE HEREIN, OR ACTIONS OR TRANSACTIONS RESULTING THEREFROM

END